IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH

I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA KI ŌTAUTAHI

ENV-2024-CHC-076

Under The Resource Management Act

1991 (the **Act**)

In the Matter of an appeal pursuant to clause

14 of schedule 1 of the Act,concerning the Central OtagoDistrict Plan – Plan Change 19

(PC19)

Between BRIAN DE GEEST

Appellant

And CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT

COUNCIL Respondent

And AURUM WINES LIMITED

s 274 Party

SECTION 274 NOTICE ON BEHALF OF AURUM WINES LIMITED

SECTION 274 NOTICE

To: The Registrar

Environment Court

Christchurch Registry

- 1. Aurum Wines Limited (**Aurum**) wishes to be a party to the following proceeding: *De Geest v Central Otago District Council*, ENV-2024-CHC-076.
- 2. Mr Anthony (Tony) Lawrence is a director of Aurum and made a submission on PC19 (**Decision**); OS37, FS226. Aurum succeeds those submissions pursuant to s 2A of the Act.
- 3. Aurum is a person who made a submission on the subject matter, and Aurum made a further submission on Mr De Geest's submission.
- 4. Aurum is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308D of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 5. Aurum is interested in all of the proceedings.
- 6. Aurum is interested in the following particular issues in respect of enabling higher density development:
 - (a) The rezoning of the land legally described as Lot 1 DP 23948 (Site).
 - (b) Amendments to the Large Lot Residential Zone (Precinct 1) (LLRZ).
- 7. Aurum opposes the relief sought because:
 - (a) The primary relief sought to rezone the site to Low Density Residential (LDR), and the secondary relief to rezone to a mixture of residential densities including LDR and LLRZ, is inappropriate for the site and not in accordance with the character of the surrounding area.

(b) The Decision rezoned the Site LLRZ and provides a minimum site density of 1000m², striking an appropriate balance between enabling at least sufficient development capacity in accordance

with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (**NPSUD**) and providing for a well-functioning urban environment.

(c) The Decision struck the right balance between allowing efficient

development and maintaining the amenity and character of the

area. Allowing the Appeal would result in a less desirable outcome

in terms of a well-functioning urban environment.

The Decision is consistent with the NPSUD provides for a range (d)

zoning outcomes in North Cromwell. The relief sought seeks to

provide a greater investment return by increasing development

density, at the expense of broader considerations under the

NPSUD.

The Decision achieves the purpose of the Act and gave (e)

appropriate weight to amenity concerns as required by Part 2 and

the NPSUD.

Higher density development at the periphery of the urban growth (f)

boundary adjacent to lower density development is incongruent

with standard planning practices and encourages environmental

creep beyond that boundary.

8. Aurum agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute

resolution of the proceedings.

Date: 23 August 2024

Bridget Irving / Hannah Perkin

Solicitor for Aurum Wines Limited

Address for service for Aurum Wines Limited:

Gallaway Cook Allan Lawyers

123 Vogel Street

P O Box 143

Dunedin 9054

Telephone: (03) 477 7312

Fax: (03) 477 5564

Contact Person Bridget Irving / Hannah Perkin / Simon Peirce

Email bridget.irving@gallawaycookallan.co.nz

hannah.perkin@gallawaycookallan.co.nz simon.peirce@gallawaycookallan.co.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.