

Resource Management Act 1991

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 6)

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340

RECEIVED
15/12/2022
CODC

19/174

Name of person making further submission

Name: Werner Murray _____

Postal address: _____23A Miners Terrace Bannockburn

(Or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act)

Phone: 027 445 6845

Email: carolynwerner@mac.com

Contact person: _____Werner Murray

(Name & designation, if applicable)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on Proposed Plan Change 19 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

..... ; or

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is great than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

**I have made a submission and live in Bannockburn/Central Otago ; or
(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2
above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1
and/or 2)**

3. The local authority for the relevant area.

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

147, 82, 150, 34, 117, 163, 143, 127 on Plan Change 19
*(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point
number of the original submission)*

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

See attached
.....
.....
.....

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):

See attached
.....

(Please give precise details)

I wish (~~or do not wish~~) to be hearing in support of my further submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission , I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)



.....
Signature of person making Further Submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

Date:15/12/2022.....

Email:carolynwerner@mac.com.....

Telephone No: 027 445 6845

Postal Address: 23A Miners Terrace, Bannockburn

.....

.....

Contact Person: Werner Murray
(name & designation, if applicable)

Submissions close at 4pm on Tuesday 20 December 2022

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

147	Stephen Davies	Support	This is a logical extension of the urban zone and makes good use of productive land
82	Jones Searell Family Trust	Support	This is sensitive land with a lot of historic heritage, and it allows for a centre as was contemplated by the Cromwell Masterplan, needs a community park at the centre and also access to the inlet, hill sides are sensitive, development in accordance with a structure plan. There are a number of submissions proposing to expand the Residential zoning in Bannockburn. Bannockburn's Character, foot paths and public amenity needs to be carefully considered (this is true for Lowburn as well). Bannockburn should be developed in accordance with its own design guides. These could be community lead (similar to a the community plans that CODC currently have) and be similar to the Arrowtown Design guides. A design guide could come at some point in the future, but there needs to be some statutory "teeth" put into the District Plan now to enable this in the future. The QLDC District Plan has done this for Arrowtown, by including a management zone overlay.
150	Landpro Limited	Support	Support notion of NPS-UD, and more clarity and refinement of proposed zones
34 and 117	Gordon Stewart and Graeme Crosbie	Support	Sensible to look at smaller lots sizes
163	John and Rowan Klevstul and Rubicon Hall Road Ltd	Support in part	The concept of a structure plan is a good one and acknowledging features like retaining Horticulture and viticulture, acknowledging historic features on sites as part of development, active stormwater management, and pedestrian/cycle connections. This concept could apply to all of Bannockburn, see response to submission 82 – this could be the start of a management zone overlay with a Rural Hamlet concept at the centre of the management zone's purpose.
143	Koraki Limited and Scott Scott Limited	Support in part	See response to Submission 82

127	Harold Davidson	Kruze	Support part	in	See response to Submission 82
-----	-----------------	-------	--------------	----	-------------------------------

147	Stephen Davies	Support	This is a logical extension of the urban zone and makes good use of productive land
82	Jones Searell Family Trust	Support	This is sensitive land with a lot of historic heritage, and it allows for a centre as was contemplated by the Cromwell Masterplan, needs a community park at the centre and also access to the inlet, hill sides are sensitive, development in accordance with a structure plan. There are a number of submissions proposing to expand the Residential zoning in Bannockburn. Bannockburn's Character, foot paths and public amenity needs to be carefully considered (this is true for Lowburn as well). Bannockburn should be developed in accordance with its own design guides. These could be community lead (similar to a the community plans that CODC currently have) and be similar to the Arrowtown Design guides. A design guide could come at some point in the future, but there needs to be some statutory "teeth" put into the District Plan now to enable this in the future. The QLDC District Plan has done this for Arrowtown, by including a management zone overlay.
150	Landpro Limited	Support	Support notion of NPS-UD, and more clarity and refinement of proposed zones
34 and 117	Gordon Stewart and Graeme Crosbie	Support	Sensible to look at smaller lots sizes
163	John and Rowan Klevstul and Rubicon Hall Road Ltd	Support in part	The concept of a structure plan is a good one and acknowledging features like retaining Horticulture and viticulture, acknowledging historic features on sites as part of development, active stormwater management, and pedestrian/cycle connections. This concept could apply to all of Bannockburn, see response to submission 82 – this could be the start of a management zone overlay with a Rural Hamlet concept at the centre of the management zone's purpose.
143	Koraki Limited and Scott Scott Limited	Support in part	See response to Submission 82

127	Harold Kruze Davidson	Support in part	See response to Submission 82
135	Cairine Heather MacLeod	Neither support nor oppose	Please see response to submission 82. Note: Bannockburn has world renowned vineyards and these need to be protected with quality soils still unplanted.