

## **Resource Management Act 1991**

## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FOR	RM 6)	RECEIVED 17/12/2022	40/400
То:	The Chief Executive Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 Alexandra 9340	CODC	19/180
Nan	ne of person making fu	ırther submission	
Name	: JW & D H Walton; J Hay/A Robinson	n; R/B/S MacFadgen ; A McLear	n
	address: _39 Domain Road; 76 Hall Ro		
	(Or alternative method	of service under <u>section 352</u> of t	he Act)
	e:03 445		
Email: _jww	dhw@gmail.com		
Conta	ct person:Jim Walton(Name &	designation, if applicable)	
	a further submission in support of ( <u>or</u> ir entral Otago District Plan.	n opposition to) a submission on	Proposed Plan Change 19 to
l am:			

1. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is great than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

	All signatories are resident in Bannockburn and are affected by the plan change 19 proposals; or
	(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
See	pport (or oppose) the submission of: e explanatory note and table appended
(Ple	on Plan Change 19 ease state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point of the original submission)
The	reasons for my support (or opposition) are:
	explanatory note and table appended
(PIE	ease give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)
l se	ek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
	explanatory note and table appended
	ease give precise details)
	sh to be hearing in support of my further submission. ease strike out as applicable)
If of	e explanatory note and table appended hers make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. ease delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
yes	
	. <b>J W Walton</b> nature of person making Further Submission
•	person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)
Dat	e: <b>16 December 2022</b>
Em	ail: <b>jwwdhw@gmail.com</b>

Postal Address:	39 Domain Road Bannockburn
Contact Person: J	im Walton: resident (name & designation, if applicable)

Telephone No: 03 445 1559 .....

## Submissions close at 4pm on Tuesday 20 December 2022

Submissions can be emailed to <a href="mailto:districtplan@codc.govt.nz">districtplan@codc.govt.nz</a>

## Note to person making submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

We support or oppose the submission of:	The reasons for our support or opposition are:	We seek that the whole or part of the submission be allowed or disallowed:	We wish to be heard in support of these further submissions
James and Gillian Watt, james.b.watt53@gmail.com, submission 19, points 1 & 2.	The reason for our support is that this submission is in accord with the	We seek that the whole of this submission be allowed.	We wish to be heard.
We support both these points.	aspect of our original submission that seeks to prevent housing construction on		

	Tamplers Hill and		
	Templars Hill and		
	protecting high quality viticultural		
	land from housing		
	subdivision.		
Cordon and Ion McCrogor	The reason for	We seek that the	We wish to be
Gordon and Jen McGregor, gkmcgregor@gmail.com,		whole of this	heard.
	our support is that		nearu.
submission 27, points 1 & 2.	this submission	submission be	
M/s support hoth those points	opposes the	allowed.	
We support both these points.	rezoning of Domain Road		
	Vineyard and		
	proposes an extension to the		
	building line restriction in		
	Domain Road		
	area. This is in		
	accord with our		
	original		
	submission.		
Ralph Allen and Jostina	The reason for	We seek that the	We wish to be
Riedstra,	our support is that	whole of this	heard.
ralphallen@orcon.net.nz,	this submission	submission be	ncara.
submission 29, points 1 & 2.	opposes the	allowed.	
Submission 25, points 1 & 2.	rezoning of	anowed.	
We support both points.	Domain Road		
Two support sour points.	Vineyard and		
	proposes a		
	recognition of the		
	existing QEII		
	covenant over the		
	submitters'		
	property in		
	Domain Road.		
Gordon Stewart,	The reason for	We seek that the	We wish to be
bannockburn452@gmail.com,	our support for	point 1 be allowed	heard.
submission 34, points 1 & 2.	point 1 is that this	and point 2 be	
	submission is in	disallowed.	
We support point 1 and oppose	accord with the		
point 2.	aspect of our		
	original		
	submission that		
	seeks to prevent		
	housing		
	construction on		
	Templars Hill.		
	I	I	1
	We oppose point		
	We oppose point 2, that minimum lot sizes be		

	1 16	<u> </u>	
	reduced from		
	2000m <sup>2</sup> to		
	1000m <sup>2,</sup> because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lot sizes in		
	Bannockburn.		
Anthony Lingard, 26 Domain	The reason for	We seek that	We wish to be
Road, Bannockburn	our support is that	point 1 be	heard.
stuling68@gmail.com,	this submission	allowed.	noara.
submission 45, point 1.	opposes the	anowed.	
Submission 40, point 1.	rezoning of		
We support point 1.	Domain Road		
vvc support point 1.	Vineyard as		
	outlined in our		
	original		
	submission.		
Charles and Nicola Hughes	The reason for	We seek that this	We wish to be
Charles and Nicola Hughes,			
charliehugs76@gmail.com,	opposing this	point be	heard.
submission 46, point 1.	submission is that	disallowed.	
	it supports the		
	rezoning of the		
	Domain Road		
	Vineyard which		
<u> </u>	we oppose.	101	) A/
Roger Evans Family Trust,	The reason for	We seek that	We wish to be
roger.evans@stafford.co.nz,	our support is that	point 3 be	heard.
submission 47, point 3.	this submission is	allowed.	
	in accord with the		
We support point 3.	aspect of our		
	original		
	submission that		
	seeks to prevent		
	seeks to prevent housing		
	seeks to prevent		

Merion (Mike) and Celia	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
Davies,	support this	point 1 be	heard.
mikecelia@yahoo.com,	submission is	allowed.	
submission 56, point 1.	because it		
, •	opposes the		
We support point 1.	rezoning of		
	Domain Road		
	Vineyard.		
James Dicey, james@dicey.nz,	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
submission 70, points relating	support these	these points be	heard.
the rezoning of Domain Road	points is because	allowed.	
Vineyard, the protection of	they oppose the		
productive soils and the	rezoning of		
provision by developers of	Domain Road		
greenways.	Vineyard and		
greenwayer	promote the		
We support these points.	protection of		
- carp and a pointer	productive land		
	consistent with		
	the National		
	Policy Statement		
	for Highly		
	Productive Land		
	2022. We support		
	developers		
	providing		
	greenways.		
Brigid Anne and Jason David	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
Short, shortsff@xtra.co.nz,	support all of	points be allowed.	heard.
submission 71, all points.	these points is		
, '	that they are		
We support all points.	entirely consistent		
	with our		
	submission and		
	give multiple		
	reasons why		
	Domain Road		
	Vineyard should		
	not be rezoned.		
Residents for Responsible	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
Development of Cromwell	support these	these points be	heard.
(R4RDC),	points is because	allowed.	
t.tinworth@xtra.co.nz,	they oppose the		
submission 75, points relating	rezoning of		
the rezoning of Domain Road	Domain Road		
Vineyard, the protection of	Vineyard and		
productive soils and the	promote the		
provision by developers of	protection of		
greenways.	productive land		
	consistent with		
We support these points.	the National		

	Dalian Ctatamant		T .
	Policy Statement		
	for Highly		
	Productive Land		
	2022. We support		
	developers		
	providing		
	greenways.		
Astrid Geneblaza,	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
astrid.geneblaza@gmail.com,	support all points	points, barring the	heard.
submission 78, we support all	except permitting	reference to	
points except permitting as a	any subdivision of	subdivision of	
form of mitigation any	Domain Road	Domain Road	
subdivision of Domain Road	Vineyard (to	Vineyard, be	
Vineyard.	3000m <sup>2</sup> lots) is	allowed.	
	because having		
	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		
	opportunity for		
	extension of the		
	residential zone to		
	the south of the		
	village.		
	Changing of		
	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lots sizes in		

	Bannockburn.		
Jones Family Trust and Searell	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
Family Trust,	oppose these	points 1 & 3 be	heard.
craig@townplanning.co.nz,	points is that	disallowed.	
submission 82, points 1 & 3.	changes in		
Subtribution 02, points 1 & 0.	minimum lot sizes		
We oppose these points.	should not		
vve oppose mese points.	proceed when		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lot sizes in		
	Bannockburn.		
Dr Wendy Bamford and Mr	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
Graham Bamford,	support point a is	point 'a' be	heard.
wbamford@xtra.co.nz,	that it opposes the	allowed.	
submission 84, point 'a'.	rezoning of		
	Domain Road		
We support point a.	Vineyard and		
	Templars Hill.		
	The manager was		
	The reason we		
	now oppose		
	rezoning and		
	subdivision of		
	Domain Road		
	Vineyard (to		
	3000m² lots) is		
	because having		
	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		

	opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of current lot sizes should not proceed because there has been no community consultation in Bannockburn about such a change. We strongly recommend that the CODC embark on a residential development		
	strategic planning exercise based on		
	local consultation which would		
	include questions about lot sizes in Bannockburn.		
Niall and Julie Watson.	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
nwatsonnz@gmail.com,	support all of this	of this submission	heard.
submission 85, all points.	submission is that	be allowed.	
We support this submission in	it is entirely consistent with		
its entirety.	ours and in		
	particular its		
	opposition to the		
	rezoning of		
	Domain Road		
David Olds.	Vineyard. The reason we	We seek that this	We wish to be
david.olds@aderant.com,	support point 2 is	point be allowed.	heard.
submission 86, point 2.	that it opposes the		
	rezoning of		
We support point 2.	Domain Road Vineyard.		
Peter and Ngaire Grellet,	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
grellet@extra.co.nz,	support all points	points, barring the	heard.
submission 92, we support all	except permitting any subdivision of	reference to subdivision of	
points except permitting as a form of mitigation subdivision	Domain Road	Domain Road	
gallon cabarriolon			

of Domain Boad Vinavard	Vinavard (to	Vinguard bo	
of Domain Road Vineyard.	Vineyard (to	Vineyard, be	
	3000m <sup>2</sup> lots) is	allowed.	
	because having		
	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		
	opportunity for		
	extension of the		
	residential zone to		
	the south of the		
	village.		
	Changing of		
	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lots sizes in		
	Bannockburn.	10/	10/
Jim and Diane Walton et al.,	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
jwwhdw@gmail.com,	support these	these points be	heard.
submission 97, points	points is because	allowed.	
regarding Domain Road	they oppose the		
Vineyard, Templars Hill.	rezoning of		
	Domain Road		
We support these points.	Vineyard and		
	support the		
	protection of		
	Templars Hill from		
	development.		

Nito Oneith and Keinen	Th	T	Maria de la la c
Nita Smith and Keiran	The reason for		We wish to be
Parsons,	our position is that		heard.
nita.j.smith@gmail.com;	while we support		
kieranparsons6@gmail.com,	LLRZ residential		
submission 100, entire	development to		
submission.	the south of		
	Bannockburn we		
Neither support nor oppose.	are aware that		
	there has been no		
	notification of this		
	proposal which		
	offers		
	Bannockburn		
	residents a		
	chance to be		
	consulted.		
	We strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	about extension of		
	the residential		
	zoning and the		
	configuration of		
	new residential		
	areas in		
	Bannockburn.		
Geoffrey Owen and Ingrid	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
Janice Poole,	support all points	points, barring the	heard.
poolefam@xtra.co.nz,	except permitting	reference to	
submission 101, we support all	any subdivision of	subdivision of	
points except permitting as a	Domain Road	Domain Road	
form of mitigation any	Vineyard (to	Vineyard, be	
subdivision of Domain Road	3000m <sup>2</sup> lots) is	allowed.	
Vineyard.	because having		
	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		
	opportunity for		
	extension of the		

Suz Allison, suznlloyd@xtra.co.nz, submission 103, we support all points except permitting as a form of mitigation any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard.	residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of current lot sizes should not proceed because there has been no community consultation in Bannockburn about such a change. We strongly recommend that the CODC embark on a residential development strategic planning exercise based on local consultation which would include questions about lot sizes in Bannockburn.  The reason we support all points except permitting any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road	We seek that all points, barring the reference to subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard, be allowed.	We wish to be heard.
	reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the		
	Changing of current lot sizes		

	should not proceed because there has been no community consultation in Bannockburn about such a change. We strongly recommend that the CODC embark on a residential development strategic planning exercise based on local consultation which would include questions about lot sizes in		
Britta Sonntag, britta_huwald@hotmail.com, submission 104, point opposing the rezoning of Domain Road Vineyard.  We support this point.	Bannockburn. The reason we support this point is that it is consistent with our original submission.	We seek that this point be allowed.	We wish to be heard.
Jill Marshall,  landjmarshall72@gmail.com, submission 105, we support all points except permitting a sa form of mitigation any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard.	The reason we support all points except permitting any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.	We seek that all points, barring the reference to subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard, be allowed.	We wish to be heard.

	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lot sizes in		
	Bannockburn.		
Robyn Jane Fluksova and	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
Jindrich Fluksa,	support all points	points, barring the	heard.
jrfluksa@yahoo.co.nz,	except permitting	reference to	
submission 120, we support all	any subdivision of	subdivision of	
points except permitting as a	Domain Road	Domain Road	
form of mitigation any	Vineyard (to	Vineyard, be	
subdivision of Domain Road	3000m <sup>2</sup> lots) is	allowed.	
Vineyard.	because having	anowou.	
vinoyara.	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		
	opportunity for extension of the		
	residential zone to		
	the south of the		
	village.		
	Changing of		
	Changing of		
	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		

	Bannockburn about such a change. We strongly		
	recommend that the CODC embark on a residential		
	development strategic planning exercise based on local consultation		
	which would include questions about lot sizes in Bannockburn.		
Donna Hall, donna@donnahall.nz, submission 115, we support all points except permitting as mitigation any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard.	The reason we support all points except permitting any subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.	We seek that all points, barring the reference to subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard, be allowed.	We wish to be heard.
	Changing of current lot sizes should not proceed because there has been no community consultation in Bannockburn about such a change. We strongly recommend that		

	T	T	Т 1
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lot sizes in		
	Bannockburn.		
Graeme Crosbie,	The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
info@domainroad.co.nz,	oppose both of	points 1 & 2 be	heard.
submission 117, points 1 & 2.	these points	disallowed.	
	relating to the		
We oppose both points.	inclusion of		
	Domain Road		
	Vineyard in the		
	LLRZ and		
	reducing lot sizes		
	to 1000m <sup>2</sup> is		
	because the first		
	point is contrary to		
	our and many		
	other submissions		
	and the second		
	requires further		
	community		
	consultation.		
	corioditation.		
	Changing of		
	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lots sizes in		

Jack Longton and Karen Lillian Searle, jack@tiqvah.co.nz, submission 119, we support all points except permitting as a mitigating factor, subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard into 3000m² lots.  Bannockburn.  The reason we support all points except subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of current lot sizes
Searle, jack@tiqvah.co.nz, submission 119, we support all points except permitting as a mitigating factor, subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard into 3000m² lots.  support all points except subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
submission 119, we support all points except permitting as a mitigating factor, subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard into 3000m² lots.  except subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
points except permitting as a mitigating factor, subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard (to 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
mitigating factor, subdivision of Domain Road Vineyard into 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
Domain Road Vineyard into 3000m² lots) is because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
because having reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
reviewed the submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
submissions there is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
is substantial support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
support for the status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
status quo in the Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
Domain Road area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
area and there is abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
abundant opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
opportunity for extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
extension of the residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
residential zone to the south of the village.  Changing of
the south of the village.  Changing of
village. Changing of
Changing of
Garrent let 61266
should not
proceed because
there has been no
community
consultation in
Bannockburn
about such a
change. We
strongly
recommend that
the CODC
embark on a
residential
development
strategic planning
exercise based on
local consultation
which would
include questions
about lot sizes in
Bannockburn.
Harold Kruse Davidson, The reason for We wish to be
matt@ladpro.co.nz, our position is that heard.
submission 127, entire we are aware that
submission. there has been no
notification of this
Neither support nor oppose. proposal which

Ros and Peter Herbison, rospete@xtra.co.nz,	offers Bannockburn residents a chance to be consulted.  We strongly recommend that the CODC embark on a residential development strategic planning exercise based on local consultation about extension of the residential zoning and the configuration of new and existing residential areas in Bannockburn. The reason we support this point	We seek that this point be allowed.	We wish to be heard.
submission 134, the point	is that it is entirely	point be allowed.	nodra.
opposing the rezoning of	consistent with		
Domain Road Vineyard.	our submission.		
We support this point.			
Cairine Heather McLeod,	The reason for		We wish to be
campbell@chasurveyors.co.nz,	our position is that		heard.
submission 135, entire	while we support		
submission.	LLRZ residential		
	development to		
Neither support nor oppose.	the south of		
	Bannockburn we		
	are aware that there has been no		
	notification of this		
	proposal which		
	offers		
	Bannockburn		
	residents a		
	chance to be		
	consulted.		
	We strongly recommend that the CODC embark on a residential		

	Ι		T
Bannockburn Responsible	development strategic planning exercise based on local consultation about extension of the residential zoning and the configuration of new and existing residential areas in Bannockburn. The reason we	We seek that	We wish to be
Development Inc., <a href="mailto:james@dicey.nz">james@dicey.nz</a> , submission 140, points opposing the rezoning of Domain Road Vineyard and retention of the current no-build restrictions.  We support these points.	support these points is that they are consistent with our submission.	these points be allowed.	heard.
Koraki Ltd and Scott Scott Ltd,	The reason for		We wish to be
kiscott@outlook.co.nz,	our position is that		heard
submission 143, entire	we are aware that		
submission.	there has been no		
	notification of this		
Neither support nor oppose.	proposal which		
	offers		
	Bannockburn		
	residents a		
	chance to be consulted.		
	consulted.		
	We strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	about extension of		
	the residential		
	zoning and the		
	configuration of		
	new and existing		
	residential areas		
	in Bannockburn.		
Professor Jennifer Dixon,	The reason we	We seek that all	We wish to be
jennydixon017@gmail.com,	support all points	points, barring the	heard.
submission 154, we support all	except subdivision	reference to	

	at Damain Dand	and all datas of	Γ
points except permitting as a	of Domain Road	subdivision of	
mitigating factor, subdivision of	Vineyard (to	Domain Road	
Domain Road Vineyard into	3000m <sup>2</sup> lots) is	Vineyard, be	
3000m <sup>2</sup> lots.	because having	allowed.	
	reviewed the		
	submissions there		
	is substantial		
	support for the		
	status quo in the		
	Domain Road		
	area and there is		
	abundant		
	opportunity for		
	extension of the		
	residential zone to		
	the south of the		
	village.		
	village.		
	Changing of		
	Changing of		
	current lot sizes		
	should not		
	proceed because		
	there has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	change. We		
	strongly		
	recommend that		
	the CODC		
	embark on a		
	residential		
	development		
	strategic planning		
	exercise based on		
	local consultation		
	which would		
	include questions		
	about lot sizes in		
	Bannockburn.		
Werner Murray,	The reason we	We seek that this	We wish to be
carolynwerner@mac.com,			heard.
· ·	oppose reducing	point be	n <del>c</del> alu.
submission 156, point one.	the minimum lot	disallowed.	
	size to 1400m <sup>2</sup> is		
We oppose this point.	because there		
	has been no		
	community		
	consultation in		
	Bannockburn		
	about such a		
	Labout odoli d		

	T	
	change. We	
	strongly	
	recommend that	
	the CODC	
	embark on a	
	residential	
	development	
	strategic planning	
	• •	
	exercise based on	
	local consultation	
	which would	
	include questions	
	about lot sizes in	
	Bannockburn.	
John and Rowan Klevstul and	The reason for	We wish to be
Rubicon Hall Road Ltd,	our position is that	heard.
office@townplanning.co.nz,	while we support	
submission 163, entire	LLRZ residential	
submission.		
Submission.	development to	
	the south of	
Neither support nor oppose.	Bannockburn we	
	are aware that	
	there has been no	
	notification of this	
	proposal which	
	offers	
	Bannockburn	
	residents a	
	chance to be	
	consulted.	
	consulted.	
	Maratas alla	
	We strongly	
	recommend that	
	the CODC	
	embark on a	
	residential	
	development	
	strategic planning	
	exercise based on	
	local consultation	
	about extension of	
	the residential	
	zoning and the	
	configuration of	
	new residential	
	areas in	
	Bannockburn.	