



Resource Management Act 1991

Submission on Notified Proposed Plan Change to Central Otago District Plan Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 5)

To: The Chief Executive

Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122 Alexandra 9340

Details of submitter

Name: Karen Anderson
Postal address: 4 Roberts Drive
(Or alternative method of service under <u>section 352</u> of the Act)
Phone: 027 4528 978
Email: bandy@xtra.co.nz
Contact person: Karen Anderson
(Name & designation, if applicable)
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 19 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal) I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 199 (*select one)
*I / We are (select one) directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
*Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Give details, attach on separate page if necessary)
Enabling the reduction of section sizes
This submission is:

(Attach on separate page if necessary) Include:

- whether you support or oppose the specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended; and
- the reasons for your views.

In lodging this submission, I understand that my submission, including contact details, are considered public information, and will be made available and published as part of this process.

K A Anderson	01-09-2022
Signature	Date

Submissions close at 4pm on Friday 2 September 2022

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.