





Resource Management Act 1991

Submission on Notified Proposed Plan Change to Central Otago District Plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 5)

To: The Chief Executive Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 Alexandra 9340

Details of submitter

Name: Brigid Anne AND Jason David Short

Postal address: 22 Domain rd, RD 2, Bannockburn (Or alternative method of service under <u>section 352</u> of the Act)

Phone: 021749215

Email: shortsff@xtra.co.nz

Contact person: Brigid Short, owner of 22 Domain rd (Name & designation, if applicable)

This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 19 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).

Lam / am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of <u>section 308B</u> of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*select one)

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

a) Rezoning of rural resource area property, Bannockburn Domain rd vineyard to large Lot residential. (LLRZ)

b) The proposed residential footprint of Bannockburn as shown in area photograph of Cromwell ward.

This submission is:

(Please see attached photos of the view we currently have, as you can see we are about to have foundations poured for our house which completely looks out over the vineyard)

- I wish to acknowledge the lack of written notification from council to Bannockburn residents about the proposed plan change or the meetings that were held in the Cromwell library. It was by pure accident I found out on Wednesday 31st August.
- If this change were to be approved it would be to the detriment of Domain rd, Templers Hill and not least Bannockburn sluicing's.

- The current roading is indicative of a small township that has a low traffic flow. People walk this everyday for exercise, walking dogs, walking to the sluicings via the Gibson rd entrance and cycling.
- Increased traffic would be dangerous for all regular users including users of the school bus. Hundreds of cyclists use the cycle track and come up the hill to enjoy the Bannockburn Hotel and Black Rabbit café, this would become very dangerous if more traffic from the proposed subdivision was granted.
- The northern elevated aspect from our section if built on would completely obstruct our current view of the Pisa range.
- People have bought out here because of the lack of light and noise pollution and most importantly the rural aspect of Bannockburn. If the street were to be widen this no doubt would include streetlights!
- Finally I strongly appose this change as I feel there is rural land in Bannockburn that would be better suited to subdividing within the natural landscape of the land and not taking away the limited green spaces Bannockburn currently has.

I / We seek the following decision from the consent authority: (Give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)

- I support / oppose the application (select one)
- I wish to be heard in support of this submission (select one)
- *I / We will consider presenting a joint case if others make a similar submission *Delete this paragraph if not applicable.

In lodging this submission, I understand that my submission, including contact details, are considered public information, and will be made available and published as part of this process.

Signature

Date

Submissions close at 4pm on Friday 2 September 2022

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- *it is frivolous or vexatious:*
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*



