Support

A-Z

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Nam	e of person making further submission: Trina Joanne AFFLECK (Full name)
	is a further submission in support of (<u>or</u> in opposition to) a submission on proposed Pla ige 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
lam: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying thi being:
	I live close to the proposed plan change area ; or,
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general publi has, the grounds for saying this being:
•	
(Pleas	se state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
Sup	port (or oppose) the submission of:
	David Lewis Holden, P.O Box 88, Cromwell — Point 19 on Plan Change 12. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The p	particular parts of the submission (support)(or oppose) are:
	AII
(Pleas	e clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The r	easons for my support (or opposition) are:
	Cromwell needs more residential sections close to town
(Pleas	e give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submi	ission be allowed for disallowed):
(Please give precise	
I-wish/(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my furth (Please strike out as applicable)	er submission.
If others make a similar submission, I will consider present (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)	enting a joint case with them at a hearing.
Signature of person making Further Submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electron	
Address for service of person making Further Submissio	n: 3 Amber Close Cromwell 9310
Telephone No: .445 1869 Fax No: Contact Person: Trina Affleck (Name & Designation, if applicable)	and the second s

Note to person making Further Submission
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017



2 5 AUG 2017

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122
	ALEXANDRA 9340
Name	e of person making further submission: Brett Fraser DAWSON (Full name)
	is a further submission in support of (<u>or</u> in opposition to) a submission on proposed Pla ge 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
Lam:	
1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
	Affected neighbour
	; or,
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
(Pleas	; or, se state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2) The local authority for the relevant area.
Leun	port (or oppose) the submission of:
Taup	
	David Lewis Holden, P.O Box 88 Cromwell - Rint 19 on Plan Change 12. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The p	articular parts of the submission support (or oppose) are:
	AII
(Pleas	e clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The re	easons for my support (or opposition) are:
******	Logical area for expansion of township
(Please	e give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
(Please give precise details)
I wish/(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
15T Davison 24-8-17
Signature of person making Further Submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission: 5 Amber Close Cromwell 9310
Telephone No: (027) 676 5809 Fax No: Email: dawscurr Dxtra.co.nz
Contact Person: Ret Dawson (Name & Designation, if applicable)
Note to person making Further Submission A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

served on the local authority.

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Name	e of person making further submission: Tennifer May HAWKER (Full name)
	is a further submission in support of <u>(or in opposition t</u> o) a submission on proposed Plar ge 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
I am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being: I life in the Cromwell area & have a keen interest in the future development of the town:
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
(Pleas	; or, se state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
l supp	(Please state the name and address of original submission) On Plan Change 12. (Please state the name and address of original submission)
	articular parts of the submission I support (<u>or oppose)</u> are: THE RNTINE SUBMISSION
(Please	e clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
	asons for my support (<u>or opposition)</u> are: REFER ATTA CHED IXOTES
Please	give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
(Please give precise details)
I wish/(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case) Signature of person making Further Submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission: P.O. Box 115, Chamusell
Telephone No: 0274A09527Fax No: Email: Info@pisarangestote.com
Contact Person: Tenny Hawkee (Name & Designation, if applicable)

Note to person making Further Submission
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

SUPPORT FOR .A FURTHER SUBMISSION BY WOOING TREE VINEYARD PLUBLICLY NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE 12 TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

This is a further submission in **SUPPORT** of the proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.

Key Points:

BACKGROUND: Central Otago has gained an international reputation for the quality of its wine. The result is a higher number of 'sophisticated spending visitors' (refer attached STUFF article) visiting the region to learn more about our wine and food. Tourism projections show this demand will increase significantly over the next decade. Many are independent travellers (not coach tours).

Cromwell needs to support this tourist activity – currently it is not. A boutique hotel with retail aimed at visitors is desperately needed. The Proposed Plan change will help support these visitors to stay longer, providing opportunities for the community at large.

1.0 VINEYARD PLANTING/GREEN SPACES: These are essential. I would not be in support of the proposal if these were not there. Currently Wooing Tree provides a very important visual statement to any visitor arriving in Cromwell – they know they are in the heart of our wine industry. It is estimated that 70% of all grape production for the whole of the Central Otago region is located in the Cromwell Basin (which is Bannockburn in the south, Cromwell/Lowburn, Pisa and Queensbury sub-regions on the western side of Lake Dunstan and Tarras, Bendigo bordering the lake to the East).

The proposed Plan clearly indicates vines will remain in place and border the development - in addition **GREEN SPACES** between residential sites has also been included. Suggestions that this proposed development will devalue current residents properties in my view is a misunderstanding the proposal – one only has to look at property values in Arrowtown and Queenstown to see quite the opposite is likely to happen. Property values have increased significantly in both those towns.

- 2.0 ACCOMMODATION/RETAIL BOUTIQUE HOTEL: In my view there is one major problem to the growth of Cromwell as a place to stop and spend time in and that is the a lack of good 4.5 star accommodation/with a restaurant for the growing number of international independent travellers interested in visiting the regions vineyards. A boutique hotel of 50-60 rooms could only benefit the town. Another problem that visitors have with Cromwell is they find it difficult to spend any money. There is NO retail to support them. There are of course shops in Wanaka/Arrowtown Queenstown providing high end quality goods that tourists want to buy but not in Cromwell.
- **3.0 HOUSING**: Concerns expressed in the Otago Daily Times about the areas rural residential appeal being lost, are, in my opinion misjudging the proposal. I would think the last thing any developer putting in a 4.5 star boutique hotel accommodation (or Wooing Tree Vineyard with their own business for that matter) would want is high-density low cost housing right next to boutique accommodation, or a winery offering tastings to visitors it just doesn't make sound business sense.

SUMMARY:

The Wooing Tree Vineyard's location is very important to our wine industry. I regard it as an asset to Cromwell. I urge Council to support this Plan change so that a combination of boutique accommodation, winery, and residential development can take place – creating opportunities for all of us in the community.

Jenny Hawker Pisa Range Estate Vineyard



Wineries attract sophisticated spending visitors

Advertisement

Last updated 05:00 20/08/2017 CHRIS HUTCHING



Waipara's Black Estate head chef Jacob Stanley and co-owner Penelope Naish.

STACY SQUIRES/STUFF

Black Estate in Waipara North Canterbury is one of about 450 wineries benefiting from the tourist influx and a new visitor guide on the NZ Wine website.

Penelope Naish said international tourists have proved a boon, albeit the disruption to the Kaikoura highway following last year's earthquakes has dampened the pace lately.

Black Estate, recent winner of Cuisine's best winery restaurant, has invested in a nearby residential property for Airbnb guests.



There is even a smartphone app called Campable connecting motorhome tourists with places to stay, including some vineyards.

SUPPLIED

Australia, followed by the US, UK and Germany, and most of them are independent travellers.

They tend to be more interested in art and cultural tourism activities rather than adventure activities.

About 24 per cent of Scandinavian visitors described themselves as wine tourists in a recent survey, followed by similar percentages from Finland, Canada, Denmark, England, and the US.



From the vines at North Canterbury winery Black Estate.

SUPPLIED

Naish said Americans seemed especially keen on the cellar door experience where they can enjoy tastings and discuss the qualities of wine.

"We work with a few high end tour guides who bring out smaller parties of tourists for an afternoon of wining and dining. We don't have capacity for very large groups."

Winery tourists stay longer and spend more, estimated on average to be





FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Name	of person making further submission: Kim & MICHAEL HERRICIE (Full name)
	s a further submission in support of (<u>or</u> in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plange 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
I am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
Ro	He payers in Conwell ; or,
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
(Pleas	; or, e state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
Isupp	ort (<u>er oppose)</u> the submission of:
	(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The pa	rticular parts of the submission I support (<u>or oppos</u> e) are:
Óc	e creached document
(Please	clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The re	asons for my support (<u>or</u> opposition) are:
5	e attached document
(Please	give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

i seek that the whole of part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (of disallowed).
whole part of submission be allowed.
(Please give precise details)
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
Signature of person making Further Submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission: 52 Molyneux Ave
445 4477 Cromwell
Telephone No: 021 026011 Fax No: Email: Kimepndenvy. co. nz
Contact Person: Hemick (Name & Designation, if applicable)
Note to person making Further Submission A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

Kim & Michael Herrick's Submission for Wooing Tree Proposed Plan Change 12

We support all aspects of the submission of Wooing Tree on Plan Change 12.

The reasons for our support are:

- The population of Cromwell is growing and more housing is required, particularly if it's done with a plan and vision rather than some of the smaller opportunistic dwellings we are currently seeing being built. This development allows for small to larger sections dependent on what people are looking for. My understanding is the smaller sections would be for apartment style buildings which could definitely help alleviate the housing shortage as well as being attractive to the aging population. However, we do feel it is important to carefully manage these builds to a high minimum standard.
- Statistics show a substantial increase in tourism numbers over the coming years. Currently
 visitors often by-pass Cromwell as apart from the winery experiences and the motorsport park
 there is no high-end retail experience this is a huge missed economic opportunity for our
 town. The combination of accommodation, tourist attractions and (hopefully) premium retail
 experience would provide the aspirational hub that is currently missing in our community.
 This would also lead to increased job opportunities.
- Overall the Wooing Tree development offers a fantastic, aspirational growth opportunity for our community.

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

DISTRICT

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122

ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: Michelle Louise Morpeth

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan. I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being: potential future landowner/resident of the proposed development

I support the submissions of:

6)Central Otago Queenstown Trails Network Trust c/- PO Box 31 Cromwell 9342 6/1, 6/2, 6/3

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree for provision of safe cycle/pedestrian access in underpass

8)Cromwell & Districts Community Trust c/-271 Bannockburn Rd RD2 CROMWELL 8/1, 8/2, 8/3

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree for provision of safe pedestrian access in underpass, greenways and notable tree protection

16)Jennifer May Hawker PO Box 115 CROMWELL 9342 16/1

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12, agree can only benefit Cromwell

19) David Lewis Holden

PO Box 88 CROMWELL 19/1

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree that the development is needed for Cromwell's continuing growth

31)K Wally Sanford 28 Ethereal Crescent Mt Pisa RD3 CROMWELL 9383 31/1, 31/2, 31/3

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree that the development will serve Cromwell's changing needs well

36)Robert Tovey 191 Ripponvale Road RD 2 CROMWELL 36/1

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree it is a well thought out, multi-zoned subdivision

41)Janeen Margaret Wood 271 Bannockburn Road RD 2 CROMWELL 9384 41/1, 41/2

Support the proposal for Plan Change 12 and agree for provision of a safe cycle/pedestrian underpass.

I oppose the submissions of:

24)Geoffrey Neil & Margaret Ann McPhee 16 Lakeview Terrace CROMWELL 24/1

'The density of the subdivision would indicate housing would be available for low socio economic owners. Is this the look Cromwell needs at its entrance?'

Disagree with this statement. Higher density does not necessarily equate to 'low socio economic owners.' Many homeowners look to downsize to a smaller, low maintenance (yet stylish) home base later in life — perhaps as they wish to travel more frequently. Other potential buyers of high density housing may be looking for a smaller, low maintenance second home or holiday pad. Additionally, high density does not necessarily equate to low spec building. I like the prospect of a multi-zoned, well thought out, stylish subdivision of varying density. As the plan encompasses green spaces and sightlines to the Wooing Tree and the mountain ranges surrounding the basin, it would be a great place to base yourself in Central Otago either as a resident or a visitor. The development could potentially include a

beautifully landscaped hot pool complex, a day spa, bike paths, and more wine tourism opportunities alongside the residential zone...in my view, this would be a good look for Cromwell's entrance.

34) Gordon McAlpine Stewart 452 Bannockburn Road RD 2 CROMWELL 34/15, 34/16

f = v - v - v

I would like to see some vines on the site retained, especially in the 'buffer' zones around the outside of the development. Apart from being visually pleasing and appropriate, they will refer to the history of the site and will emphasise the fact that this is indeed, 'Wooing Tree Wine Village'.

I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission:233 Tutukaka Block Road, Tutukaka 0173
Contact Person:Michelle Morpeth

() , , , ,





Further Submission in Support of Submissions on a Publicly Notified Plan Change Private Plan Change 12 - Wooing Tree

To:

Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122

ALEXANDRA 9340

Submitter:

NZ Transport Agency

PO Box 5245 **DUNEDIN 9058**

This is a further submission in support of submissions on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.

The NZ Transport Agency has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has -

For example, The NZ Transport Agency has statutory functions, as defined in section 95 of the Land Transport Management Act, that include among other things:

- to promote an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive, and sustainable land transport system;
- to manage the State highway system; and,
- to assist, advise, and co-operate with approved organisations (such as regional councils and local territorial authorities).

The NZ Transport Agency supports the submissions of:

Central Otago Queenstown Trails Network Trust

Submitter Number - 6

The Southern District Health Board - Public Health South

Submitter Number - 32

The particular parts of the submissions that the NZ Transport Agency supports are:

Submitter: - Central Otago Queenstown Trails Network Trust

6/3 The provision of pedestrian and cyclist access through the development should also be considered.

Submitter: - The Southern District Health Board c/- Public Health South

32/4 Principles of urban design should be considered as they can influence street connectivity, safe walking and cycling opportunities, and providing increased density can limit urban sprawl.

File Ref: RM/13/66/1/12

The reasons for the NZ Transport Agency's further submissions in support are:

- 6/3 Providing good pedestrian and cyclist access to and through the development builds on the principles of travel demand management by encouraging the use of multi-modal transport. This will contribute to the sustainable management of the transport system.
- 32/4 Incorporating urban design elements into the development will assist in providing open space and movement networks and ensuring land use and transport integration. Incorporating urban design elements into the development will contribute to the sustainable management of the transport system.

The NZ Transport Agency does wish to be heard in support of this submission.

If others make a similar submission, the NZ Transport Agency will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Dated at Dunedin this 31 day of August 2017.



Steve Higgs

Lead Strategic Planner
Pursuant to a delegation from
the Chairman and the Board
of the NZ Transport Agency

Address for Service:

NZ Transport Agency PO Box 5245 DUNEDIN 9058

Attention: Tony MacColl

Phone:

(03) 951 3009

Facsimile:

(03) 951 3013

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122

ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: Paul James Piebenga

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan. I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being: Concerned as to where will the future growth of Cromwell come from? – Commercially and Residentially?

I support the submissions of the following:

- No 6 Central Otago Queenstown Trails Network Trust
- No 8 Cromwell & Districts Community Trust
- No 16 Jennifer May Hawker
- No 19 David Lewis Holden
- No31 K Wally Sanford
- No33 David Garth Start Items 33/1, 33/2, 33/3 only
- No36 Robert Tovey
- No41 Janeen Margaret Wood

OTHER COMMENTS

Those opposed to the Commercial Area

- I disagree. Now is the time to take a longer term view of Cromwell. Our current Commercial Area appears that you can't grow to the West (The new Rest Home Prohibits this), You can't grow to the East (The Golf Course Prohibits this), you can't grow to the South (Residential Lots and the Swimming Pool Prohibits this) and you can't grow to the North (The Big Fruit and Reserve prohibits this) so where is any growth going to go?
- I disagree because the application appears to be trying to create a tourism destination rather than attract "Big Box" retailors such as Supermarkets or The Warehouse

Those opposed to Small Sections (e.g. 250m2)

I disagree as mix of section size should be important to any subdivision. Small sections, suitable for apartment/town house style living along with STRICT design covenants should enhance the area. Refer comment made by No41 – Janeen Margaret Wood "She sees herself moving to this sort of area when downsizing becomes an option"

Those in support of a Two Lane Roundabout

- I agree with:
 - No23 Graeme & Jan Marie McDowell Item 23/10
 - o No35 Ruth and Ron Stilwell

I seek that the whole of the submission be allowed I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission:6a Panners Cove Lane; CROMWELL
Telephone No:
Contact Person:Paul Piebenga

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Name	of person making further submission: Gregory Francis Sinnott and (Full name) Anna-Covise Sinnott
	is a further submission in support of (\underline{or} in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plange 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
I am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
	Residents and business owners of Gromwell ; or,
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
	×
(Pleas	e state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
l supp	ort (<u>or</u> oppose) the submission of:
G.o.	(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The pa	articular parts of the submission (support (droppose) are:
34/	1 - 34/7 (inclusive), 34/14, 34/15, 34/16, 34/17
	SUPPORT e clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The re	easons for my support (or opposition) are:
	omission 34 considers the need for more residential
Sect.	give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)
Pote	ential risks to the vineyard buffer etc.

I seek that the whole of part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
would like to see this restricted to retail space entirely, but specifically to townsts (Please give precise details) with retail options I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission. In The Mall.
would like to see this restricted to retail space ratering
specifically to townsts (Please give precise details) with retail options
(Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
AS CH (1) TH
Signature of person making Further Submission Date
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission: 48 Sugar Road
Louburn, Cromuell
Telephone No: 0212.751135. Fax No: Email: gregion design & xotron. Contact Person: Greg Stanooff Co. NE
Contact Person: Greg Sinneth Co. 172 Name & Designation, if applicable)
Note to person making Further Submission
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

Oppose

A-Z

Author: Leanne Sproull 22/09/2017 2:46 p.m.

Modified: 0/00/0000 0:00 a.m.

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION CHANGE PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: PAUL JAMES Goodigin. (Thusta of Meadow This is a further submission in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan. I am: 1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being: Will affect our access from Stortcut road onto Main Highwayer, A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public 2. has, the grounds for saying this being: (Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2) development. Leave Stortant Road as is. The local authority for the relevant area. oppose) the submission of: on Plan Change 12. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission) The particular parts of the submission less Quenstown Wanata / Cromwell . There is NO reed tok a single (Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

	i seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be disallowed):
	The allotant Sizes should be a minimum of 500 m2
	There is No need for shops in wooning The development either We have already empty (Please give precise details) in Mall.
4	(Please give precise details)
1	I wish/(wish/(wish) to be heard in support of my further submission.
	(Please strike out as applicable)
	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
	(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
	DAGO.
	1 goodin 3/9/2017
	Signature of person making Further Submission Date
	(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
	(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
	Address for service of person making Further Submission:
	Address for service of person making Further Submission:
	Telephone No: 032099047. Fax No: Email: P.j. a. goodwine icloud. com
	Contact Person:
	(Name & Designation, if applicable)
	N ()
	Note to person making Further Submission A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is
	served on the local authority.
	adital all ma large signification.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

Campanion of

District Charge

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION DATA BLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

•			101 -4 SEP 2017
	Clause 8 of Schedule 1,	Resource Maṇagement Act 1991	13 021 2011
7 To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340		TRICT TODAY
Name	e of person making further submission:	ALL JAMES Goodwin.	Trustee of Merdowale
	is a further submission in author of to ge 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.	in opposition to) a submi	ssion on proposed Plan
I am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspecting:	ct of the public interest, the	grounds for saying this
Wil	ll affect our access from	Shortcut road ont	o Main Highway.
2.	A person who has an interest in the properties, the grounds for saying this being:		4
	se state whether you are a person who may make a	come within category 1 and/or 2)	d pressure Horoce and also specify/explain the
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.		
Inter	oppose) the submission of: reming with Short cut Road (Please state the name and address of original point number of the submission of:	Leave Stort Cut	Plan Change 12. r and submission
Here. Gugen (Please	rstown / Wana Ka / Cromove e clearly indicate which parts of the original submiss	llo Pere is No re	

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole <i>or</i> part [describe part], of the submission——————————————————————————————————
The alotment sizes should be a min of soom?
There is No need for Stops in wooing Tree development
We have already emply shops in Walls
I wish/() to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
P.J. Goodini 3/9/2017
Signature of person making Further Submission Date
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
38 Bell Aue
Cromwell,
* You have all my defails
Address for service of person making Further Submission: from previous Submission on the Same proposal Telephone No: 03.2089047. Fax No: Email: P. goodwin@icloud.com
on the Same proposal
Telephone No: 03.2089047. Fax No: Email: P. goodwin@icloud.com
Contact Person: PAUL
(Name & Designation, if applicable)

Note to person making Further Submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

(京都集団族)

TO L

AND STREET

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION BLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

	Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Nam	e of person making further submission: PAUL JAMES Goodsvin (Truste of Mandanvale Trust)
	is a further submission (in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan age 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.
I am:	
1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
w	ill affect our access from Stortcut road onto Main Highling.
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
(Please Hrow 3.	be a luge Step lackwards t but adaled pressure all; or, se state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2) The local authority for the relevant area.
Vou.	Jane all relevant into on file from previous on Plan Change 12. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission introler with Short cut number of original submission) Leave Short cut Road as is.
There Quer (Please	should be a double found about at the intersection oppose) are: Should be a double found about at the intersection of the intersection of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary) Labout at and of Barry Hue Main feed, Just Stated this above.
(Please	e give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

	I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be disallowed):			
	The allotment sizes should be a minimum of 500012			
	There is No need for slopes in Wooing Tree Dave lopment other			
	There is No read for shops in wooing Tree Dove lopment other We have already outly soft in wish/(mission) to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)			
	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)			
	P. Goodin 3/9/2017			
	Signature of person making Further Submission Date (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)			
	(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)			
	Address for service of person making Further Submission: 1 Lake Wice Torrace			
	Ctomwell			
	Telephone No: 0320890H7. Fax No: Email: Pin goodwide icloud. com			
	Contact Person: (Name & Designation, if applicable)			
Note to person making Further Submission A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is				
	served on the local authority.			

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 12 CLOSE ON MONDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2017

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

District

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Cromweil To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 **ALEXANDRA 9340** Name of person making further submission: Vihling FINITY SON (Full name) This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan. I am: 1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being: The Traffic Count is fundamentaly Flaved ; or, 2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being: (Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2) The local authority for the relevant area. 3. I support (or oppose) the submission of: (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission) The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

I seek that the whole or part [describe part], of the submission be altowed (or disallowed): Ag Whe Angline Profession he disablesed all Weighter.
(Please give precise details)
I wish/(or-do-not-wish) to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)
M. M. M. 19 19.
Signature of person making Further Submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Address for service of person making Further Submission: b Fraser Count
Telephone No: 027419223 Fax No: Email: Cliffodale @ XHA, Co. 172
Contact Person: Fin White Rake flager (Name & Designation, if applicable)
Note to never making Eurther Submission
Note to person making Further Submission A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it served on the local authority.
FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION
I be lieve where should be at heast two commissions
from the Comment and on the Hearing Panael also the
leaving has to be held in assessed
My Heller

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

0

To: Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission:

Wooing Tree Holdings Limited

This is a further submission in opposition to a submission on proposed Plan Change 12 to the Central Otago District Plan.

We are a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

Wooing Tree Holdings Limited is the applicant and the landowner.

We **oppose** the submission of:

NZTA Submission Point 27/6.

The particular parts of the submission we **oppose** are:

NZTA seek to amend Rule 7.3.5(vi) so that any activity that fails to comply with Rules 7.3.6(vi) Access (d) to (f) is a Prohibited Activity.

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reason for our opposition is:

Under Plan Change 12 as publicly notified, if any activity that fails to comply with Rules 7.3.6(vi) Access (d) to (f) then a Non-Complying Activity resource consent is required. Non-Complying Activity resource consents are assessed in accordance with section 104D of the Resource Management Act 1991. Section 104D states that a consent authority may grant a resource consent for a non-complying activity only if it is satisfied that either – the adverse effects of the activity on the environment will be minor; or the application is for an activity which will not be contrary to the objectives and policies of the District Plan. Wooing Tree Holdings Limit submits that Non-Complying resource consent status is therefore appropriate managing adverse effects on the environment and there is no need to change that status to a Prohibited Activity as sort by NZTA.

Wooing Tree Holdings Limited seeks NZTA submission point 27/6 is disallowed.

We wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If others make a similar submission, we will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature of person making Further Submission:

Carey Vivian
For Wooing Tree Holdings Limited

Address for service of person making Further Submission:

Vivian Espie Limited P O Box 2514 Wakatipu Queenstown 9349

Ph: 4414189

1 -2 - 5

Email: carey@vivianespie.co.nz