### IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

ENV-2020-CHC-006

**UNDER** 

the Resource Management Act 1991

IN THE MATTER

of an appeal under Clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of

the Act

**AND** 

Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan

**BETWEEN** 

RIVER TERRACE DEVELOPMENTS LTD

**Appellant** 

**AND** 

**CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT COUNCIL** 

Respondent

# NOTICE OF GREGORY AND ROS HINTON'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Dated

0 March 2020

ROSS DOWLING MARQUET GRIFFIN SOLICITORS DUNEDIN

Solicitor: A J Logan

Telephone: (03) 477 8046 Facsimile: (03) 477 6998

PO Box 1144 DX YP80015

## NOTICE BY GREGORY AND ROS HINTON'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

- To The Registrar
  Environment Court
  Christchurch
- 1. We, GREG and ROS HINTON wish to be a party to the following proceedings:
  - 1.1. The appeal by River Terrance Developments Limited against the decision of the Central Otago District Council, on Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.
- We are persons who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- We are not trade competitors for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- We are interested in all of the proceedings.
- 5. Without limiting the previous paragraph, we are interested in the following particular issues:
  - 5.1. adverse reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining properties and activities including horticulture and motorsport;
  - 5.2. the mechanisms proposed to try to manage adverse reverse sensitivity effects;
  - 5.3. the inappropriateness of rezoning the site for predominately residential purposes;
  - 5.4. the inability to meet expectations of residential amenity in the site;
  - 5.5. the inability of the proposed Plan Change to meet its own objectives and policies;
  - 5.6. the loss of production land;

- 5.7. the nonconformity with relevant objectives and policies of the Central Otago District Plan;
- 5.8. the proposal being contrary to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development Capacity and the operative and proposed Regional Policy Statements;
- 5.9. the desirability of spot rezoning of the kind proposed; and
- 5.10. the incompatibility of the proposal with the rational, orderly and comprehensive development of Cromwell and with the careful, strategic and co-ordinated approach contemplated by the operative Regional Policy Statement and by the Cromwell Masterplan.
- 6. We oppose the relief sought including for the following reasons:
  - 6.1. the proposed plan change is not sustainable management of the land and associated resources:
  - 6.2. rezoning for residential purposes is incompatible with surrounding land uses and zoning;
  - 6.3. residential use of the site will create adverse reverse sensitivity effects that cannot be avoided nor adequately mitigated;
  - 6.4. the mechanisms proposed to manage adverse reverse effects are illusory and ineffective;
  - 6.5. if approved, the plan change will have adverse social, economic and cultural effects on neighbours and the Cromwell community;
  - 6.6. the proposal detracts from the amenity of adjoining owners and occupiers;
  - the proposal will not meet current expectations of residential amenity;
  - 6.8. the plan change cannot achieve its own objectives and policies;
  - 6.9. the plan change, if approved, will lead to an unnecessary loss of production land;

- 6.10. the plan change cannot be reconciled with the National Policy Statement for Urban Development Capacity, the Regional Policy Statement or relevant objectives and policies of the Central Otago District Plan;
- 6.11. the spot re-zoning of this land is not good resource management practice and is an example of poor urban design;
- 6.12. the proposed plan change undermines the coordinated and strategic development of Cromwell directed by the Regional Policy Statement or contemplated by the Cromwell Masterplan; and
- 6.13. the proposed plan change does not accord with community aspirations reflected in both the Cromwell Masterplan or with the weight of opposing submissions made to the Central Otago District Council.
- We agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

A J Logan

Solicitor for G and R Hinton

Date: O March 2020

#### Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Ross Dowling Marquet Griffin

Solicitors

50 Princes Street (PO Box 1144 or DX YP80015)

Dunedin

Telephone: (03) 951 2363 Fax: (03) 477 6998 Contact person: A J Logan

Email: alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz

### Note to person wishing to be a party

You must lodge the original and 1 copy of this notice with the Environment Court within 15 working days after—

the period for lodging a notice of appeal ends, if the proceedings are an appeal; or

the decision to hold an inquiry, if the proceedings are an inquiry; or the proceedings are commenced, in any other case.

Your right to be a party to the proceedings in the court may be limited by the trade competition provisions in <u>section 274(1)</u> and <u>Part 11A</u> of the Resource Management Act 1991.

The notice must be signed by you or on your behalf.

You must serve a copy of this notice on the other parties to the proceedings within the same 15 working day period.

However, you may apply to the Environment Court under <u>section 281</u> of the Resource Management Act 1991 for a waiver of the above timing or service requirements (see <u>form 38</u>).

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.