

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

**FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO,
SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE
TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN**

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: 45 South Group of Companies
(45 South Cherry Orchards Limited and 45 South Management Limited)
(Full name)

This is a further submission in support of a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

A participant in the horticultural industry which contributes significantly to the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of Cromwell.

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

The owner and operator of an orchard on the Ripponvale Flats which will be directly and adversely impacted by the plan change if approved.

(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. ~~The local authority for the relevant area.~~

I support the submission of:

Public Health South, Submission Number 285 on Plan Change 13.

(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I support are:

I support the submission of Public Health South, Submission Number 285, to the extent it requests Plan Change 13 be declined.

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support are:

For the reasons given in Submission Number 285, Plan Change 13 is completely incompatible with established land uses on the Ripponvale Flats

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that part of the submission requesting that Plan Change 13 be declined be allowed:

I seek that part of the submission requesting that Plan Change 13 be declined be allowed.

(Please give precise details)

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

.....
Date 29 October 2018

A J Logan

Lawyer for 45 South Group of Companies

Signature of person making Further Submission

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz
(Please write clearly)

Telephone No: (03) 951-2363

Postal Address: Ross Dowling Marquet Griffin
Barristers & Solicitors
PO Box 1144, Dunedin 9054; or
DX: YP80015, Dunedin

Contact Person: Alastair Logan, Partner
(name & designation, if applicable)

**FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION
ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018**

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.