

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of	person making	further submission:	Annabelle Jane	Tinworth	
---------	---------------	---------------------	----------------	----------	--

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

I am a person who holds an interest greater than the general public as I am a Cromwell resident and in the tourism industry

- 3. The local authority for the relevant area. I support the submission of:
- 1. James Dicey, james@grapevision.co.nz, 90 support all
- 2. Robin Dicey, rhmdicey@gmail.com, 92 support all
- 3. Peter John Mead & Alastair Stark, alanmckay@xtra.co.nz, 228 support in full
- 4. DJ Jones Family Trust, and Suncrest Orchard Limited, jones.fam@xtra.co.nz , 164 support in full
- 5. Andrew John Iremonger, Iremonger.AJ@gmail.com, 156 support all
- 6. Thomas Alan Coull, thomascoull@gmail.com, 63 support all
- 7. Werner Murray, carolynwerner@mac.com, 252 support all
- 8. Horticulture New Zealand, rachel.mcclung@hortnz.co.nz, 151 support all
- 9. MotorSprot NZ, brian@motorsport.org.nz, 248 support all
- 10. Mt Difficulty Wines, matt@mtdifficulty.nz, 249 support all, particularly 249/13 landscape visual amenity
- 11. Highlands Motorsport Park Limited, bridget.irving@gallawaycookallan.co.nz, 144 support all
- 12. Simon John Douglas Giles, simongiles1@mac.com, 131 support all
- 13. NZ Transport Agency, richard.shaw@nzta.govt.nz, 254 support in part insufficient detail
- 14. Greg and Ros Hinton, alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz , 146 support all

on Plan Change 13.

or,

The particular parts of the submission I support are:

- 90, 92, 228, 164, 151 **Effect on Orchards:** being loss of agricultural land, impact on economy, impact on tourism, reverse sensitivity particularly spray drift (164/2), these matters have been raised by submitters but there is insufficient information in the application to address these matters. We request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource management Act 1991.
- 156 Effect on Infrastructure: insufficient detail in Mott McDonald report to make infrastructure decisions, and to
 determine cost on rate payers in the future, we request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource
 management Act 1991.
- 63, 252, 249 **Effect on Community**: immediate and untimely disproportionate effect on the small Cromwell community in relation to its current population; the proposal has adverse effects on the environment and its

- resources (63/4, 252/3), Cromwell community plan has not been addressed in this regard. Dark sky policies have not been addressed (63/16). Effect on landscape and amenity (249/13).
- 252 Effect on Master Planning and Urban Design: Out of centre development, no analysis on established commercial uses in Cromwell, no comment on retail hierarchy has been made (252/1),
- 249, 144, 131 **Effect on Tourism**: employment in Cromwell (144/2), tourism (144/16), visual amenity in relation to views and against CODC regional identity 249/13. Insufficient information provided in application to address these issues, We request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource management Act 1991.
- 254, 146, 252, Effect on traffic: traffic report is insufficient and a Council peer review should be conducted. NZTA
 have not applied enough rigger as the development will impact the Kawarau Gorge and ultimately the Shotover
 bridge. Further information is required.

The reasons for my support are:

As detailed above there are a number of outstanding issues that need further information and are also significant enough to mean that ultimately the issues cannot be mitigated making this plan change at this scale and in this location un-supportable.



I seek that the whole of the submission be allowed

(Please give precise details)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.
(Please strike out as applicable)
Annabelle Jane Tinworth
Signature of person making Further Submission Date
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)
Electronic address for service of person making further submission:
annabellefraser@xtra.co.nz
(Please write clearly)
Telephone No: 0210301315
Postal Address:21 Magnetic Place
Contact Person:
(name & designation, if applicable)
FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION
ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.