RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE

TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: Paul Desmond Coghill

(Full name)

This is a further submission in opposition to a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

l am:	
1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
l am a	member and use the facilities at Highlands Motor Sport Park
; or, (Pleas	e state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
l supp	oort (<u>or</u> oppose) the submission of:
	On Plan Change 13. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I oppose are:

I oppose the two (2) submissions that were in favour of the development.

I see there may be a view that there is a lack of land for urban development and growth is inevitable. But growth needs to take in account an acceptable use of the land in question. The use of this land is entirely inappropriate for residential use. Zoning is there to ensure incapatible activities are not side by side and that is why the motorsport parks and orchards are in rural zone. Putting residential in the middle of those activities is completely contrary to the purpose of Zoning.

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)



The reasons for my opposition are: If residential growth is going to take place then it needs to be in an appropriate area. The council would then need to look at providing futher industrial and commercially zoned areas and this would be a more appropriate use of the land that would not create ongoing conflict and unrest with it's neighbours. (Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary) I seek that the whole of the opposing submission be disallowed: As the land is inappropriate for residential use..... (Please give precise details) I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. (Please strike out as applicable) If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case) Signature of person making Further Submission Date (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Electronic address for service of person making further submission : paul.coghill@xtra.co.nz (Please write clearly)

Telephone No: 0274 330 318

Postal Address:

11C Coughtry Street

Saint Clair

Dunedin 9012

Contact Person:

Paul Coghill

(name & designation, if applicable)

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:

- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been
 prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge
 or skill to give expert advice on the matter.