RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: PHILLIP JAMES HORN
(Full name)

This is a further submission ~~in support of (or in~~ opposition ~~to)~~ to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. **A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:**

A RESIDENT OF BANNOCKBURN LOOKING DOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE OF THE PROPOSED SITE. or,

2. **A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:**

AS A MEMBER OF MCGILLIVRAYS M.S.P., CONCERNED ABOUT THE; or,

(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

PARKS LONG TERM VIABILITY SHOULD THE PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE PROCEED.

3. **The local authority for the relevant area.**

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

.....**on Plan Change 13.**

(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I ~~support~~ (~~or~~ oppose) are:

PLAN CHANGE 13 IN ITS ENTIRETY.

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my ~~support~~ (or opposition) are:

SEE ATTACHED.

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

ENTERED 29/10/18

I seek that the whole ~~or part [describe part]~~, of the submission ~~be allowed~~ (~~or~~ disallowed): PLAN CHANGE 13

.....

.....

(Please give precise details)

I ~~wish/(or~~ do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission.
(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

P J Cloete ..... 26 Oct. 2018 .....

Signature of person making Further Submission **Date**
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: ...pjpiet@outlook.com...
(Please write clearly)

Telephone No: ...021 965 693

Postal Address: ...P.O. BOX 356...
...CROMWELL 9342...
.....

Contact Person: ...PHILLIP HORN...
(name & designation, if applicable)

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018

Note to person making Further submission
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The proposed development by River Terraces Development Limited is in the wrong location being too close to existing business' e.g. orchards, stock car track, Highlands Motor Sport Park etc.

As a member of Highlands I am aware of the tremendous economic benefits it has brought to the area as an event centre / museum and tourist attraction.

I am also aware of the past complaints be a few people inhibiting the park reaching its full potential.

The proposed River Terraces development is too close and too intensive essentially setting up a small town in competition to Cromwell.

Many residents of the proposed development would undoubtedly complain about noise from orchards / vineyards and stock car events plus Highlands activities. Viz Paul Keast in Alexandra – a relative new comer to the area now complaining about the noisy environment he voluntarily moved into. Article attached.

ALEXANDRA

'WW3' noises on orch

LYNDA VAN KEMPEN

A "CONSTANT barrage of noise" from some orchards during fruit harvesting season sounded like World War 3 had broken out, an Alexandra vineyard owner told a planning hearing this week.

Continuous noise from dawn to dusk during the three-month period included sirens and cannons for bird-scaring and helicopters flying overhead, Paul Keast said.

Noise from two-bladed frost-fighting fans also disturbed the peace, he told the Central Otago District Council's 10-year plan hearing.

"I was out pruning in the snow [on Wednesday] and it was peaceful out there, but for three months of the year, things change quite drastically."

Mr Keast lives on Letts Gully Rd, on the outskirts of Alexandra, and said he was a relative newcomer to the area. Noise pollution would become a bigger issue as more people moved on to rural blocks, he said.

His vineyard used nets to keep birds out but several orchards used bird-scaring cannons or sirens, resulting in "artificial noises" in the environment, which could be very disconcerting.

Two-bladed frost fans were cheaper

Otago Daily Times • Saturday, June 9, 2018

ards 'not acceptable'

than fans with more blades but they were also noisier and less efficient, Mr Keast said.

He urged the council to restrict what could be used on horticulture and viticulture blocks as bird-scaring devices and for frost-fighting.

"Continuing to state that it's a rural area and noise is to be expected is not an excuse.

"Some rural noises are to be expected in the country at certain times and for short duration; however, the constant barrage of noises from frost fans (all night sometimes) and from dawn to dusk for bird-scaring, continuously for more than three months, is not acceptable to the majority of the residents of rural areas."

When the council considered submissions on Thursday, Cr Malcolm Topliss said something needed to be done "urgently" about Mr Keast's concerns.

"It's totally unacceptable as far as I'm concerned."

Mayor Tim Cadogan acknowledged Mr Topliss' comment but said the issue had to be looked at during the district plan review later this year.

Cr Martin McPherson said the plan already listed noise limits.