## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

## FORM 6

	FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN
•	Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340  of person making further submission:  CENTRAL OTAGO ALEXANDRA  DISTRICT  OTAGO ALEXANDRA  OTAGO ALEXA
Name	of person making further submission: MIII ppa Jane Vond (Full name)
	is a further submission in support of <del>(o<u>r</u> in opposition to)</del> a submission on proposed Plan ge 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.
I am:	
1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
	; or,
2. (Pleas	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being: A rate-payer and properly owner in Cromwell. Since 1955, I and my family, have had a close gonnection with the community of Cromwell and the wider basin.  The state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
	grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
	ort ( <u>o<del>r oppose</del>)</u> the submission of:
A1.	(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The pa	rticular parts of the submission I support ( <u>or eppese</u> ) are:
	11 parts 348/1 through to 348/18
	Specially 348/1 and 2 348/8 and 9 clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The re	asons for my support (or opposition) are: The submission notes the crucial importance e Cromwell community and amenities - PCI3 will destroy this: 348/8+9
There	are a number of outstanding issues that need Ruther information are also significant enough to mean that ultimately the issues
can	not be mitigated, making this plan change at this scale in this location,

unsprortable.

	part [describe part], of the			• .		
Subi	mission 348	11 to 348/1	8			Ŷ.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				ž.
	(Please give <sub>l</sub>	orecise details)				
I wish/(or do not wish) to (Please strike out as applicate	o be heard in support of my ole)	further submission.	W.			
de ethere make a similar	<del>submission, I will conside</del> r	proceeding a laint again	with them at a	- and	, i	*.
	ot consider presenting a joint ca		with them at a	real III	igr	
PfWard		25 0	dober 201	8	¥ .	
	gn on behalf of person making					
(A signature is not required if	you make your submission by e	lectronic means)				<u> </u>
** ) *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **			air Louis	7-		
Electronic address for se (Please write clearly)	ervice of person making fu	ther submission: .E.S	oinnuuse	ag	mail	:
	*	1				
Telephone No: 0274	648 714	*				÷
Postal Address: .30	5 Espin Cresce	ent				:
K	arorî					:
h	Vellington 601	2			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	, · · ·
	hillippa Ward	:			* 4	
	(name & designation, if applic	able)				

## FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been
  prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge
  or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

