RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE

TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: Warwick Alexander Hawker

This is a further submission in support of submissions on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being I am a person who holds an interest greater than the general public as I am a Cromwell resident, involved in the tourism industry as an operator of a cellar door, a supporter of motorsport, and a CODC rate payer.

I support the submissions of:

- 1. James Dicey, james@grapevision.co.nz, 90 support all
- 2. Robin Dicey, rhmdicey@gmail.com, 92 support all
- 3. Peter John Mead & Alastair Stark, alanmckay@xtra.co.nz, 228 support in full
- 4. DJ Jones Family Trust, and Suncrest Orchard Limited, jones.fam@xtra.co.nz, 164 support in full
- 5. Andrew John Iremonger, Iremonger.AJ@gmail.com, 156 support all
- 6. Thomas Alan Coull, thomascoull@gmail.com, 63 support all
- 7. Werner Murray, carolynwerner@mac.com, 252 support all
- 8. Horticulture New Zealand, rachel.mcclung@hortnz.co.nz, 151 support all
- 9. MotorSprot NZ, brian@motorsport.org.nz, 248 support all
- 10. Mt Difficulty Wines, matt@mtdifficulty.nz, 249 support all, particularly 249/13 landscape visual amenity
- 11. Highlands Motorsport Park Limited, bridget.irving@gallawaycookallan.co.nz, 144 support all
- 12. Simon John Douglas Giles, simongiles1@mac.com, 131 support all
- 13. NZ Transport Agency, richard.shaw@nzta.govt.nz, 254 support in part insufficient detail
- 14. Greg and Ros Hinton, alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz, 146 support all

on Plan Change 13.

The particular parts of the submissions I support are:

- 90, 92, 228, 164, 151 Effect on Orchards: being loss of agricultural land, impact on economy, impact on tourism, reverse sensitivity particularly spray drift (164/2), these matters have been raised by submitters but there is insufficient information in the application to address these matters. I request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource management Act 1991.
- 156 Effect on Infrastructure: insufficient detail in Mott McDonald report to make infrastructure decisions, and to
 determine cost on rate payers in the future, I request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource
 management Act 1991.
- 63, 252, 249 **Effect on Community**: immediate and untimely disproportionate effect on the small Cromwell community in relation to its current population; the proposal has adverse effects on the environment and its resources (63/4, 252/3), Cromwell community plan has not been addressed in this regard. Dark sky policies have not been addressed (63/16). Effect on landscape and amenity (249/13).
- 252 Effect on Master Planning and Urban Design: Out of centre development, no analysis on established commercial uses in Cromwell, no comment on retail hierarchy has been made (252/1),



- 249, 144, 131 **Effect on Tourism**: employment in Cromwell (144/2), tourism (144/16), visual amenity in relation to views and against CODC regional identity 249/13. Insufficient information provided in application to address these issues, I request a report in accordance with S42A(1) of the Resource management Act 1991.
- 254, 146, 252, Effect on traffic: traffic report is insufficient and a Council peer review should be conducted. NZTA
 have not applied enough rigger as the development will impact the Kawarau Gorge and ultimately the Shotover
 bridge. Further information is required.

The reasons for my support are:

As detailed above there are a number of outstanding issues that need further information and are also significant enough to mean that ultimately the issues cannot be mitigated making this plan change at this scale and in this location un-supportable.

I seek that the whole of the submissions be allowed.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature of person making Further Submission Date

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: warwick@pisarangeestate.co.nz

Telephone No: 027 4409 525

Postal Address: P O Box 115, Cromwell 9342

Contact Person:

(name & designation, if applicable)

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018 Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.