

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: *JAMES PETER SIMPSON*
(Full name)

This is a further submission in support of a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

- ~~1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:~~
2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

I live at the property located at 344 Kawarau Gorge Road, which is directly opposite the proposed subdivision and the intersection of Sandflat Road with SH6.

~~3. The local authority for the relevant area.~~

I support (~~or oppose~~) the submission of:

HILARY ANNE LENNOX on Plan Change 13.

The particular parts of the submissions I support (~~or oppose~~) are:

All of the original submission from HILARY ANNE LENNOX

The reasons for my support (~~or opposition~~) are:

I am disgusted with how the applicant has made several very bold assumptions about how the subdivision will affect our enjoyment of our home. We will be directly affected by this proposal and the effects will potentially be severe, but the applicant didn't even ask us how we might be affected or whether there was anything that could be done to reduce the effects on us. The applicant has tried to say that there won't be any adverse effects on us, or that those adverse effects won't be significant, but this is blatantly untrue.

The road outside our home is already very busy during the day and the proposed subdivision will make things even busier, which will increase the risk of an accident near our home. I am very concerned with the increase in traffic around the Cemetery Road intersection, which is already so dangerous that I refuse to use it to turn right down on to Cemetery Road from SH6. There is no right-hand turning lane on this intersection and so if you are sitting near the centre line waiting to turn, and a vehicle comes racing up behind you from Kawarau Gorge, that vehicle is forced on to the hard shoulder to avoid crashing into the back of you. This feels extremely unsafe, particularly when the vehicle overtaking on your left is a heavy articulated goods vehicle travelling from Queenstown at great speed. I am also very concerned about the risk of a crash happening when I'm travelling home from the Kawarau Gorge direction and pulling over onto the hard shoulder to turn into our driveway. Vehicles travelling behind me will overtake on the right-hand side and cross into the dedicated turning lanes in the middle of the road. If separate vehicle is sitting on this turning lane waiting to go down Sandflat Road then there will be a big, high speed crash.

The applicant has said that there will be no effects on our privacy but when vehicles are waiting to turn from Sandflat Road onto SH6 then they are looking straight up our driveway and their headlights shine straight into our lounge. More cars

means more people staring in and more lights flashing in our window all evening. The applicant has not given any consideration to how this might affect us.

In terms of noise, we hardly hear any traffic between the evening and the morning rush hours. If there are hundreds of houses over the road then there will be much more noise throughout the evening and potentially during the night too. The applicant has not given any consideration to how this might affect us.

The applicant's traffic engineer has said that our property is a shop, which it hasn't been for several years. This shows that the engineer doesn't know what's really in the area so I'm not sure that the engineer or the AEE author are qualified to make an assessment about the effects on our property.

In summary, I support the points raised in the original submission by my partner, Hilary Lennox, which were:

- Potential adverse effects on our home have not been adequately addressed;
- There are errors in the AEE and supporting documents; and
- Inadequate measures have been proposed to avoid/manage/mitigate likely adverse effects arising from increased traffic movements.

I seek that the following parts of the submissions indicated on the attached be allowed:

All of the original submission from HILARY ANNE LENNOX.

I ~~wish~~(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

~~**If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.**~~

~~(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)~~

J P SIMPSON.....

22/10/2018.....

Signature of person making Further Submission

Date

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: jamesimpson@yahoo.com

Telephone No: 0223216394

Postal Address: 344 Kawarau Gorge Road, RD2, Cromwell

Contact Person: Jimmy Simpson

**FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION
ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 CLOSE ON MONDAY 29 OCTOBER 2018**

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.