

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of Submitter: JOHN STANLEY MCELWAIN (Full name)

This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).

I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)

I am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that-

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

THE DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE PROPOSED THAT WOULD PERMIT A 900 SECTION SUBDIVISION (INCLUDING A RETIREMENT VILLAGE, A SCHOOL, CAFES, RESTAURANTS AND SHOPS) FOR THE 49 ha OF LAND SUBJECT TO THIS PROPOSAL (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)

My submission is:

I OPPOSE THIS PLAN CHANGE AS I CONSIDER THIS SUBDIVISION TO BE AN INAPPROPRIATE USE OF LAND GIVEN THE NOISE/DISTURBANCE POTENTIALLY CREATED BY EXISTING IMMEDIATE NEIGHBOURS INCLUDING HIGHLAND PARK, CENTRAL SPEEDWAY & ORCHARDS. ADDITIONALLY THAT (continuation above)

- (Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and • reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)

I seek the following decision from the local authority:

THAT THE APPLICATION FOR PLAN CHANGE 13 TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN BE DECLINED

(Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

ANY CONDITIONS POTENTIALLY IMPOSED ON TENANTS/
OWNERS WITHIN THE PROPOSED SUBDIVISION TO
FULFILL COMPLIANCE REGARDING NOISE / DISTURBANCE FROM
EXISTING NEIGHBOURS ARE LIKELY TO BE INEFFECTIVE
AND PROVIDE NO CERTAINTY OF EXISTING USE FOR
THESE IMMEDIATE NEIGHBOURS

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. ✓
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)


.....
Signature of Submitter

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

18/6/18
.....
Date

Electronic address for service of submitter:

john.milburn133@gmail.com
.....

Telephone No:

027 2293 4625
.....

Postal Address:

20 West Meadows Drive
Wanaka 9035
.....
.....

Contact Person:

John Milburn
.....

(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON
WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.