## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

## FORM 5

## SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

| F  | Central Otago District Council<br>PO Box 122<br>ALEXANDRA 9340 |                    | ALCEIVED 3     |
|--|--|--------------------|----------------|
| Name o   | of Submitter: HUOY ERSK INC. (Full name)                       |                    | 8. JUN 2010    |
| This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).   |  |                    |                |
| I c <del>ould</del> /could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /  |  |                    |                |
| l am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that-  (a) adversely affects the environment; and  (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. |  |                    |                |
| (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission)  (* Select One)  |  |                    |                |
| The spec   | ecific provisions of the proposal that my submission           | on relates to are: | Ø.             |
|  | γ  | 8                  |                |
|  |  |                    |                |
| (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)   |  |                    |                |
| My subm  | mission is:  | above              | V 01 :         |
| FC   | oppose the specific provision                                  | sy based on        | the following. |
| - I have been involved with Central Mater Speedway by way of   |  |                    |                |
| pomotions of general management of the club (continued or  |  |                    |                |
| ● Whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and  |  |                    |                |
| <ul> <li>reasons for your views;</li> <li>and continue on additional page if necessary)</li> </ul>   |  |                    |                |
| I seek the following decision from the local authority:  |  |                    |                |
| The entire request for proposed plan change  |  |                    |                |
|  | ······································                         |                    |                |
| (Please give precise details)  |  |                    |                |
| I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)  |  |                    |                |

My Submission is: ( Continued)

CMS is a volunter run, not for profit organisation which provides family entertainment for the Central Otage Community Cons also brings thousands of Visitors to Connuell & Surrounding areas during the summer months.

cons also puts also of effort into local youth by providing them a facility where they can learn to drive a entire a entince their Stills in a Sale & controlled. environment.

Generally CMS brings So much to the local community for all to enjoy.

"Plan change 13" will without a doubt jepardise activity at CMS, therefore the local Community could be in jepardy of loosing such a community asset.

Strongly oppose " plan change 13"

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

## SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
  by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
  give expert advice on the matter.