

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of Submitter: Anthony John Clark (Full name)

This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).

I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)

I am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that-

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

Size, need, location, design, adverse effect.

(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)

My submission is:

I am in opposition to this change in its entirety.

(Please include:

- whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)

I seek the following decision from the local authority:

I would like the status quo to remain on this land. At the very least any change should reflect the rural aspect. Maybe a subdivision of part to 8000m2 (1/2 ha) total.

(Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)



.....
Signature of Submitter

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

.....
14/6/2018

Date

Electronic address for service of submitter:

.....
tonyjudyelark@gmail.com

Telephone No:
021-2219808

Postal Address:

.....
3 ARCUS AVE - PRINTERS BRY

.....
CROMWELL 9310

Contact Person:

.....
Tony

(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON
WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

- (A) The current proposed subdivisions of the Gair Ave, Holiday Park and Woorring Tree should meet the needs of our community for some time to come, being easier to integrate into local services.
- (B) The location is Rural. We don't need a Rollston. To change to broad residential is a huge difference.
- (C) Designed for such density the proposed road widths maximise the return to the developer but provide no provisions for on street parking. Most of the roads would not accommodate passing vehicles if stationary cars were parked on the street. We see that problem already in recent subdivisions. With smaller sections more vehicles will be street parked, more vehicles straddling pedestrian access.
- (D) The Highlands Motor Sport Park, speedway and nearby orchards are an integral part of our Culture and Community. The potential for residents of this subdivision to later protest those activities is very real. No amount of acoustic insulation will appease these residents. Politically their voting power will overcome promises made by previous Councils.
- (E) The timing for this is 20 years too early. Dressing the property up as they have done along the state highway and producing prodigious large supporting documents is a hard sell to Council.
- (F) The words "affordability" and no "adverse effect" litter the documents. This is a straight out commercial endeavour by a developer wanting to maximise profit with no compunction to provide Community values.