RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

		14
To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122	1 9 JUN 2018
	ALEXANDRA 9340 ACTHUR FC 11	ALEXANDRA A
Name	e of Submitter: (Full name)	COLUMN TO A STATE OF THE STATE
This	is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago I	District Plan (the proposal).
	am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the su	
(a) (b)	adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade compe (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competit (* Select One)	
	specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are	
	The entire : Plan change 13	
	(Please give details and continue on additional page if ne	cessary)
	ubmission is:	
Id	no NOT Support this development because	I am very
con	carrow that once built, the next stap would	1 be to get rid of
竹分	hands and Speedway because of the noise. The	se places were here
1.71.3	(Please include:	
	 whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to he reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) 	ave them amended; and
l seek	the following decision from the local authority:	
	hat this Plan 13 be decined entirely.	
• • • • • • • •		
••••••	(Please give precise details)	

(Please strike out as applicable)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

......

(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

Contact Person:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.