SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340	
Name	of Submitter: Dridget Margaret Scener 20 JUN 2018 (Full name)	
	s a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).	
l coul	d/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)	
l a m/a (a) (b)	am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that- adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)	
The s	pecific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:	
	The entire proposed flan Change 13	

*******	(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)	
My su	bmission is:	
	Please see Atriched	
	(Please include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)	
seek	the following decision from the local authority:	
	The entire request for hopos of Plan Change 13 be declined	
	(Please give precise details)	
wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission		

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

Submission - Bridget M Spencer

I am an active supporter of Highlands Motorsports Park and oppose the Plan Change 13 in its Entirety.

For this high density housing subdivision to go ahead, it would jeopardise Highlands Motorsport Park, Central Speedway and surrounding Orchards, all existing and established businesses contributing to the wider Community. The area surrounding Highlands needs to compliment the existing established business in the area and high density housing is not a compatible option due to noise. The traffic congestion off SH 6 would be atrocious, we only need to look at trying to exiting Sargood Ave onto SH88. 7 minutes and 42 vehicles is our record wait at the Give Way.

Highlands and its members gives so much back to the community via Rides for Cancer kids, Easter Egg Hunts and community Xmas parties etc. Also provides driver training opportunities for winter driving skills, young driver skills etc is to only list a few. This venue is the only one in the South Island of this high standard and this proposed subdivision is putting it in Jeopardy. We need to be actively protecting these great assets we have on our backdoor.

The opportunity for tourists and locals alike to be part of this amazing facility is a privilege. Why destroy it by allowing a high density housing to go ahead right next door.

A decision should not be made on the Proposed Plan Change 13 until the CODC District plan and Master plan for Cromwell is completed.

We place our trust in the Council to successfully manage the continued development of the area without jeopardising existing established businesses like Highland Motorsports Park and Central Speedway.

Bridget M Spencer

	d to sign on behalf of submitter) quired if you make a submission by electronic means)
Electronic addres	s for service of submitter: by 10/ge 50(6' x tra co 102
Telephone No:	021572798
Postal Address:	9 Mag Flat Road RD 2
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.