RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 **ALEXANDRA 9340** This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one) I am/amata directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and (a) (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) My submission is: (Please include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) I seek the following decision from the local authority:

(Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

tends to come to a standstill. Imagine hundreds of extra residents trying to get in a out of their properties. during this time. If an emergency were to happen during one of these times it would be tragic ? dangerous. Another issue I have is that the mighway is already a very fast, dangerous road. My bus stop as specific morning I was running late & had a vide to school sorted & white I was walting, & a cor flipped & crashed at the exact spot I would been waiting at and I hate to think what would be happened if I was there. Residents will be subjected to this kind of dange of they want to walk into fown as there are no footpaths or suitable and there was a last 2 days & 2 cite to the Suitable walkways. I have also lost 2 dogs \$ 2 cats to the traffic on SHE and that number would only increase with extra residents. The noise from the speedwaythighlands is a concern also. Residents with babies/young children will definitely not stand for it as sometimes it goes until Upm then you have 30mins—I hour after that where everyone is trying to leave \$ the noise continues, It is impossible to doubt the economical contributions flighteness has made to the community \$ they would be the first to suffer if this development went through. The distance from any police station is an issue to as if a crime were to occur, there would be at least a 10 minute wait for help, dependent on traffic.

Overall (think this is a ridiculous location for a developmen of this size. While im not opposed to having neighbours I feel the sheer number of residential properties to be built shows nothing butthqueed \$ ignorance of the developers. Shows nothing butthqueed \$ ignorance of the developers. As a milennial who already knows she will never beable to afford to roise her family in Cromwell (as I was, as my parents were, and as my grandparents were) I truly believe this type of greed to be the downtall of the idyllic fown will always call my home. Think of the community please will always call my home. Think of the community please

	to sign on behalf of submitter) uired if you make a submission by	y electronic means)	
Electronic address	for service of submitter:	Pla katiejsyneed	lgav@gmail.com
Telephone No:	220452843		
Postal Address:	18 Sandflat D Cromwell	200d	
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if	applicable)	

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.