RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:

Central Otago District Council

| PO Box 122 | 2 0 JUN 2018 |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| ALEXANDRA 9340 | CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT |
| Name of Submitter: Craig Phillips (Full name) | MEDINORA |
| · · | 121191 |
| This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Centra | l Otago District Plan (the proposal). |
| I sould/ could not* gain an advantage in trade competition throug (* Select one) | gh this submission. |
| Ham/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of | of the submission that- |
| (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade | - competition |
| (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade (* Select One) | |
| The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provisionships the specific provision of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provision of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provision of the proposal that my submission relationships the specific provision of the proposal that the propos | |
| I have been racing at Cen | tral Motor Speedway |
| for 13 years and I am | opposed to the |
| for 13 years and I am entire proposed plan change | 13 |
| (Please give details and continue on additional p | page if necessary) |
| My submission is: | |
| I have been involved with Central | Motor Speedway for |
| 13 years and I am very concerne restriction that could exist if a new h | d at the potential |
| restriction that could exist if a new h | ousing development was to |
| proceed next door Cromwell Community has had | l a large amount of |
| proceed next door. Crommell Community has had enjoyment from Central Motor Speedway. (Please include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or | |
| whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or reasons for your views | wish to have them amended; and : |
| and continue on additional page if nec | |
| I seek the following decision from the local authority: | |
| That proposed plan change 13 be | declined. |
| | |
| | |
| (Please give precise details) | |
| I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable) | |

-2-

| CBPhilym Signature of Subm | niffer |
|-------------------------------|---|
| (or person authorised | to sign on behalf of submitter) quired if you make a submission by electronic means) |
| 19 - C - 18 Date | |
| Electronic address | for service of submitter: 14 Hallacetown Lorneville Road |
| Telephone No: | 0274328873 |
| Postal Address: | 114 Wallacetown Lorneville Road RD 4 |
| Contact Person: | (name & designation, if applicable) |

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.