### **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

### FORM 5

# SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE IVED TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN 14 JUN 2014

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

2<sup>nd</sup> page of submission: Proposed plan change 13

These two sports events are important to our area,

With the tracks open it generates a lot of income into Cromwell and surrounding districts via accommodation, food, shops, bars etc

We can only see that with a residential subdivision happening this could jeopardise these two great facilities as we have all seen in the past throughout New-Zealand.

Also feel how uncomfortable this would be for the owners of houses in the subdivision, eg: Noice levels from the tracks when racing is on.

Dust and vehicles from Sandflat road when a meet is on

In our opinion it would be a shame to have this go ahead and then further down the track have these two wonderful facilities stopped or restricted due to complaints from residents.

12/06/2018

Craig & Gaylene Barnes

t/a Central Gold Motel 2016 Limited

Cromwell

info@centralgoldmotel.co.nz

034454700

Signature of Submitter
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

12/0/18

Date

Electronic address for service of submitter: In Pole Central gold motel conz

Telephone No: 03. HHSH 700

Postal Address: 2H Barry Aue

Cromwell

97310

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

## SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

(name & designation, if applicable)

#### Note to person making submission

Contact Person:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.