RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1917

TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN				
Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991				
To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340				
Name of Submitter: Con well Min. Golf (Full name)				
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).				
I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)				
l am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that- (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)				
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:				
The whole proposed Plan Change 13				
(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)				
My submission is: Reverse senativity will be a huge. issue for neighbors				
anther page attached (Please include:				
 whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) 				
seek the following decision from the local authority: Decline Plan Change in its esticety.				
(Please give precise details)				

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

		nic means)	
16 · 6 ·	18.		
Electronic address	for service of submitter:C	on well minigot exte	0 Bo. Ni
Telephone No: 4	450744		
Postal Address:	9- Da I.S. 6	minimization on the	
	R D 2		
	1/	0 0 0 1	

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

(name & designation, if applicable)

Note to person making submission

Contact Person:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.

Cromwell Mini Golf Submission

As owner of Cromwell Mini Golf I wish to express my concern about the Plan Change. If this is allowed to proceed there will be greater than significant effects on the Cromwell basin.

From a tourist operators point of view, I can see that a large subdivision right beside some major tourist operations is going to put these businesses at risk. They say that they will put in covenants about noise, also known as reverse sensitivity to try to prevent complaints. This may work for the first home owners, but subsequent owners will complain, and pressure will then be put on one of our largest tourism operator, Highlands Motor Sport Park. Recently Western Springs Speedway has had to relocate because of reverse sensitivity.

Cromwell Speedway brings enormous numbers of people into the town for their meetings. How tolerant of their noise are young families going to be of them?

Jones Orchard on the Queenstown side is a large operation that also has a busy shop that brings in bus loads of tourists. However, it will be the spraying, bird scaring and helicopter frost fighting plus usual day to day operations of a busy orchard, that will upset their neighbours over the fence!

We are a small developing tourist industry and the loss of some significant businesses would be a major blow to us all.

I feel that this land is much more suited to light industrial businesses that will be more tolerant of reverse sensitivity as they are not there at nights or weekends.

I wish for this plan change to be declined in its entirety.

Shona Rae. At Ras.