RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

			I O JUN ZUIU /
To:	Central Otago District Council	1	Shire courses /
	PO Box 122	10	DISTRICT CO
	ALEXANDRA 9340		
Name	of Submitter: Eamon Manage Ross	Jour	0/1/11/19
Name	(Full name)		
	(i dirriamo)		
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).			
I could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)			
t am/a	am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the su	ibmission t	nat-
(a)	adversely affects the environment; and		iac
(b)	does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade compe		
	(Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competi	ition through t	nis submission)
	(* Select One)		
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:			
The second second			
	The entre proposed plan change 1		
			# 10 # 10 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F 7 F
*******			***************************************
(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)			
No	Kondon kon Kon		
	ibmission is:	\cap	
	I oppose a residential activity	use to	<u> </u>
this land. Refer to additional page.			
	ris rain. Reis is gastriolar pa	J	

	(Please include:		
	 whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to h 	have them am	ended: and
	 reasons for your views; 		
	and continue on additional page if necessary)		
l seek	the following decision from the local authority:		
-	1 - line co- at fix accommend of	an ala	2008
The entire request for proposed plan change			
13 be declined.			
		reemantus er te tud för a artist folk f	men un schnierzegitz (deser "Un Telefolich" o d Telf 2)

(Please give precise details)			
l wish/	/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.		

(Please strike out as applicable)

Locating a high density residential activity in such close proximity to Highlands, the Central Speedway and the Orchards is an inappropriate use of this land.

This type of development will clearly create an unpleasant place to live and unhappy neighbors to the existing operation within the immediate area. A "no complaint" coverant is not a resonable nor practical solution to creating an acceptable residential environment for this land.

Both the Highlands and Speedway parks are valued developments not only significant to the Cromwell community but important to the wider Central Otago district, as appropriate areas for such activities are non existent. Our local

for such activities are not existent. Our local economies benefit substantially from visitors through the national and international events these world class facilities attract.

By allowing this development to proceed could potentially impact on the long tem economic viability of both existing facilities. Any future development of this land needs to be compatible with the existing environment.

Signature of Submitter
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

18/6/18

Date

Electronic address for service of submitter:

Telephone No: 0213SS 140

Postal Address: 4 Clydesdale Caut

Wanaka 9305

Contact Person: Earner Young

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

(name & designation, if applicable)

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.