## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

## FORM 5

## SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 Central Otago **ALEXANDRA 9340** District Name of Submitter: ... (Full name) This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). I could/could not\* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (\* Select one) I am/am not\* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and (a) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (\* Select One) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) My submission is: so not the lease infludeous ou support or oppose the I seek the following decision from the local authority: (Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

	similar submission, would not consider pr			joint case with th	em at a hearing.
Mal	Q.			3	
	<b>nitter</b> d to sign on behalf of s equired if you make a s		lectronic means)		
19 June Date	2018				
Electronic addres	s for service of sub	omitter:	infeh	elivien.co.	υş
Telephone No:	1210388477	<del>).</del>	•		2
Postal Address:	hobox 43	50 Gom	LU 9345	<b>.</b>	
Contact Person:	Jolanda (name & de	FOale , r	resident	local bus	then Owner
SUB	MISSIONS CLOSE I		E TO PROPOSE Y 20 JUNE 2018		<u> 13 ON</u>

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.