RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 **ALEXANDRA 9340** Name of Submitter: This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one) I am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and (a) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) My submission is: (Please include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) I seek the following decision from the local authority:

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

(Please give precise details)

If others make a s (Please delete if you	milar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing vould not consider presenting a joint case)
15 6 1 Date	
Electronic address	for service of submitter:
Telephone No:	93 445 4410 AFTER 530pm
Postal Address:	15 Stowell Dave.
	CROM well
•	
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.