RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

| T OTHER O | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 19/ TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN | |
| Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 | |
| To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340 | |
| Name of Submitter: Mark M. Faulane. (Full name) | |
| This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). | |
| H-could could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one) | |
| (a) adversely affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that- (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One) | |
| The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: | |
| Proposed Plan Change 13: River Terrace - proposal that the land in the river terra resource area be developed into medium to high density residential retirement | æ |
| resource area be developed into medium to high density residential retirment | • |
| neighborhood, school including roading infrastructure, walkways + open space | |
| networks (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) | |
| My submission is: | |
| I am apposed to this proposed change of land use as I believe it will impact | |
| negatively on existing businesses wind propertys in the area, also I think that the massive increase in traffic will directly affect myself and all other current resid | |
| the massive increase in traffic will directly affect myself and all other current resid | lei |
| In the surrounding area, I think if it were to go ahead it would become affortable housing (Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and on 2n | ug |
| • reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) | e) t |
| I seek the following decision from the local authority: | |
| That the proposed change of zoning from Rival to Medium to High Density | - |
| howing NOT be allowed, and that the kiver terrace resource areq | |
| That the proposed change of zoning from Rival to Medium to High Density howing NOT be allowed, and that the River terrace resource area development is NOT allowed to proceed. | |
| | |

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

3 5

(Please give precise details)

Signature of Submitter
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

19-06-18

Date

Electronic address for service of submitter: mcfarlane mark@hofmail.com

Telephone No: 0276312783.

Postal Address: 93 Pearson Road

20 2

Cromwell

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Mark Myarlane

(name & designation, if applicable)

Note to person making submission

Contact Person:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

constant works and be of no beache to crommed also about likely complaints from high current complaints from high containing rural residents from high current complaints from high current company of the current command also about by current points and likely contained of their current company.