RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 Central Otago To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 **ALEXANDRA 9340** Name of Submitter: . This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). Leourd (could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one) I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and (a) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: Change 13 to the Central (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) My submission is: oppose to the above Plan Change 13 - Please find attacked matter of this submission... Highlands Motosport Park (Please include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and · reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) I seek the following decision from the local authority: To Stop the development of 850 Sections controls to protect Highlands

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

(Please give precise details)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.

My Submission is:

Continued...

- Locating this type of high density residential activity in such close proximity to Highlands, the Speedway and the Orchards is very poor resource management and an entirely inappropriate use of the land. The existing activities are not compatible with high density residential.
- Residents will be subjected to noise during the day and the night from Highland's, State Highway 6, The Speedway and the Orchards, people will be unhappy.
- The proposed zone has included almost no controls to protect Highlands, Speedway, Orchards and other existing activities.
- There are far more appropriate areas to develop for residential purposes. The proposed site would be more appropriate for industrial or commercial development