RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 Central Otago District Council To: PO Box 122 **ALEXANDRA 9340** Name of Submitter: (Full name) This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). Jeould/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one) lam/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary) My submission is: ive democe (Please include: you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views: and continue on additional page if necessary) I seek the following decision from the local authority: (Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. (Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

A.W. Man Fadger. "R.W. Mac Fadgar.

Signature of Submitter

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

19 06 2018.

Electronic address for service of submitter:

Telephone No: Nh SOB13.

Postal Address: 159 Wall Road Barroch burn

RD2. Cromwell.

Contact Person: as above.

(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

ALEXANDRA

VW3' noises on orchards 'not acceptable'

I LYNDA VAN KEMPEN

A "CONSTANT barrage of noise" from some orchards during fruit harvesting season sounded like World War 3 had broken out, an Alexandra vineyard owner told a planning hearing this

Continuous noise from dawn to dusk during the three-month period included sirens and cannons for birdscaring and helicopters flying overhead, Paul Keast said.

Noise from two-bladed frostfighting fans also disturbed the peace, he told the Central Otago District Council's 10-year plan hearing.

"I was out pruning in the snow [on Wednesday] and it was peaceful out there, but for three months of the year, things change quite drastically."

Mr Keast lives on Letts Gully Rd, on

the outskirts of Alexandra, and said he was a relative newcomer to the area. Noise pollution would become a bigger issue as more people moved on to rural blocks, he said.

His vineyard used nets to keep birds out but several orchards used birdscaring cannons or sirens, resulting in "artificial noises" in the environment, which could be very disconcerting.

Two-bladed frost fans were cheaper

than fans with more blades but they expected in the country at certain Topliss said something needed to be were also noisier and less efficient, Mr Keast said.

He urged the council to restrict what could be used on horticulture and viticulture blocks as bird-scaring devices and for frost-fighting.

"Continuing to state that it's a rural area and noise is to be expected is not an excuse.

"Some rural noises are to be missions on Thursday, Cr Malcolm already listed noise limits.

the constant barrage of noises from frost fans (all night sometimes) and from dawn to dusk for bird-scaring, continuously for more than three months, is not acceptable to the majority of the residents of rural

When the council considered sub-

areas.

times and for short duration; however, done "urgently" about Mr Keast's concerns.

"It's totally unacceptable as far as I'm concerned."

Mayor Tim Cadogan acknowledged Mr Topliss' comment but said the issue had to be looked at during the district plan review later this year.

Cr Martin McPherson said the plan