

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of Submitter: Ruth and Ron Stilwell
(Full name)

This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal)

I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
(* Select one)

I am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that-
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
(Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission)
(* Select One)

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

Plan/Zoning Change - totally inappropriate to have sections smaller than Weeping Tree & Top 10 applications. - see additional page attached

(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)

My submission is:

We oppose the zoning plan change because it will create a high density satellite town not attached to the present town. Protective covenants want to protect the orchardists, the recreation facilities of our iconic Speedway and Highlands Motorsport Park. This is not an appropriate place for high density development.

(Please include:

- whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and
• reasons for your views;
and continue on additional page if necessary)

I seek the following decision from the local authority:

We think RR6 is the appropriate zoning for a rural residential area where buildings and land use can be in harmony with their present neighbours.

(Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.
(Please strike out as applicable)

Additional page to Stilwell submission

The Developer's main reason (on paper) for the need for such an intensive sub-division out in a rural area (where he got the land for a good price), is that we supposedly need 2,000-3,000 houses in the next 12 years. That is approximately 200 a year. At present we have Wooing Tree, Top 10, Gair Avenue, Cemetery Road all with sections and infill, plus subdivisions at Bannockburn and Lowburn. Approximately 600-700 houses builds are potentially there now. We don't NEED to panic and allow further subdivisions until we have researched thoroughly, consulted with the community and developed a comprehensive plan for the future of Cromwell.

The main reason given for the need for this development doesn't stack up, like a lot of other things in the applicant's biased report.

~~If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.~~
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

Ruthwell.....

Ruthwell.....

Signature of Submitter

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

20/6/18.....

Date

Electronic address for service of submitter: *r.stilwells@hotmail.com*

Telephone No: *03 4451 563*

Postal Address: *110 Molyneux Avenue*
Cromwell 9310

Contact Person: *Ruth or Ren Stilwell*
(name & designation, if applicable)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON
WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.