RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340	15 JUN 2018 OSNTRAL OTAGO OSSTRICT ALEXANDRA		
Name	e of Submitter: Tanya Marie Merchant (Full name)	181110		
This i	is a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago	District Plan (the proposal).		
I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)				
l am/a (a) (b)	am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the su- adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade compet (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competing (* Select One)	tition.		
The s	specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to ar	re:		
The entire Proposed Plan Change 13				
My su	(Please give details and continue on additional page if ne ubmission is: See attached sheet.	cessary)		
	(Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to he reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary) • the following decision from the local authority:			
	The entire request for Proposed Plan Chang	ie. 1.5. j. De aetinad.		
•••••				
(Please give precise details)				

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

		by electronic means)	
12-6-20 Date	018		
Electronic address	s for service of submitter:	tanya.merchant@xtra.co.nz	
Telephone No:	121 2714 881		
Postal Address:	16 Skyedale Drive Christchwch 8051		
Contact Person:	Tanya Mercha	int	

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

(name & designation, if applicable)

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Central Otago District Council:

As a member of Highlands Motorsport Park, I am concerned that by allowing a high density residential development to be constructed on the proposed land adjacent to Highlands, would ultimately lead to the demise of the Highlands facility.

History has shown throughout NZ that allowing any form of residential development within the vicinity of a race track, eg. Levels Raceway in Timaru, results in numerous noise complaints and eventually restricted use of the race track facilities.

Highlands Motorsport Park generates a substantial amount of money into the district with international and domestic tourism and also employs many locals.

As a Highlands member who lives in Christchurch, I now visit the area regularly, staying in the local motels and using the café, swimming pool, service station and other Cromwell facilities. There are many Cromwell businesses that benefit from people like myself, who are in the area while visiting Highlands. Since being a member of Highlands, I have also introduced many friends and family to the Cromwell facilities as well.

It would be a great loss to the Central Otago community if due to a badly positioned residential development, that Highlands operations were constrained, or at worst terminated.

It for the above reasons that I oppose the Proposed Plan Change 13.

Regards,

Tanya Merchant