## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

#### FORM 5

### SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

	A. C. L. C.		
	CHANGE IS DE DECLINE		
	the following decision from the local authority: 1ME KNTIRE REQUEST FOR パ	30, 7560	Person
	and continue on additional page if necessary)		
	<ul> <li>whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have</li> <li>reasons for your views;</li> </ul>	them amen	ded; and
	(Please include:		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	CHANCE 13 SEE HITAE	TC HED	PACE
	I OPPOSE THE ENTIRE	r Pi	-AN
/ly su	bmission is:		
	(Please give details and continue on additional page if neces	sary)	.,
	THE ENTIRE PROPOSED PLAN	C.	ANCE 1
Construed Old W	pecific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:		
	(* Select One)	unough uns	submission)
b) (	does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition		submission\
amla a)	m-not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submadversely affects the environment; and	ission that	
Charles	(* Select one)		
	ਰੋ/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this sub		ine brokeony.
ſhis i	s a submission on proposed Plan Change 13 to the Central Otago Dis	trict Plan (	he proposal).
Vame	of Submitter: (Full name)	VCAXO	
	ALEXANDRA 9340  of Submitter:  THOMAS  PETER  Pin		Unarrigor Appropriate
To:	PO Box 122	100	-019

(Please strike out as applicable)

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

Signature of Submitter
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

Postal Address for service of submitter:

Telephone No: 0274399388

Postal Address: Martingura

Contact Person: Tom Discussed

(name & designation, if applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

# SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

Note to person making submission

ell.

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
  by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
  give expert advice on the matter.

The following to add to From 5 submission by Tom Pinckney regarding plan change 13

#### Submission to Plan Change 13

As a member of Highlands I Submit AGAINST the proposed Plan Change 13 in it's entirety; For reasons following;

- This significant type of proposal must wait until after the Cromwell Master planning process is completed.
- This Density of housing will threaten EXISTING activities due to reverse sensitivity. The \$30million plus investment in Highlands and the significant investment in the Speedway are unique to Cromwell, the only way to ensure their continuation in the long term is not to allow dense residential activity close by.
- Highlands and Speedway bring very significant income into the wider Cromwell basin which would be under threat.
- Horticulture and viticulture or Commercial and Industrial use, as are existing nearby, would be more appropriate for this land.
- Should the plan change be approved at the very least there MUST be covenants (Nonopposition covenants) put on the entire area which don't allow future owners to lodge any
  submission to any existing activity or planning proposal application by any existing
  neighbouring properties including Highlands and Speedway.

Tom Pinckney

9 June 2018