### **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

### FORM 5

# SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

	Clause 6 of Sche	edule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
	Clause v or Scrie	dule 1, Nesource Management Act 1991
То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122	2 D JUN 2018
Name	ALEXANDRA 9340 of Submitter:	DISTRICT AUDITOR
		Tony Creighton(Full name)
This i	s a submission on proposed Plan C	hange 13 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).
l coul	d/could not* gain an advantage in tr	ade competition through this submission. (* Select one)
l am/a (a) (b)	adversely affects the environment; does not relate to trade competitio	of the subject matter of the submission that- and n or the effects of trade competition. gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)
The s	pecific provisions of the proposal th	at my submission relates to are:
	a member of Central Motor Spee	dway & I am opposed to the entire proposed plan change
	(Please give details a	and continue on additional page if necessary)
l have b well as	the enjoyment for competitors and spectators the ints that could exist if the new housing develop	rears now, C.M.S has brought a large amount of financial support to Cromwell as nat travel for hours to be there. I am concerned of the potential operational ment goes ahead. Especially after the redevelopment of the camping grounds
		(Please include:

- whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and
- · reasons for your views;

## SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 13 ON WEDNESDAY 20 JUNE 2018

#### Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
  by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
  give expert advice on the matter.