RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Name	e of person making further submission:Carl.Michael.McNulty(Full name)
	s a further submission in support of (<u>or</u> in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change the Central Otago District Plan.
I am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
	already lodged a submission in relation to PC14 and having read other submissions I want to add further points for eration, based on my knowledge of, and participation in, the local property market; or,
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
(Pleas	; or, e state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
l supp	port (<u>or</u> oppose) the submission of:
Supp	ort Basil John Lister, 15 Bell Avenue Cromwell, Submission #49on Plan Change 14. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The p	articular parts of the submission I support (<u>or</u> oppose) are:
consid mitiga	s a logical and desirable location for such a development, the range of densities proposed is appropriate and well dered in terms of giving future owners various options and price points, plus allows efficient use of land and suitable tion of any visual impact. I also support the provision of public access to recreation tracks on the hill. Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
There a definite	easons for my support (or opposition) are: ion the developer is proposing to lower the ONL boundary to protect more sensitive landscape than is the present case. ire clear economic benefits to Cromwell from expansion of the cherry orchard by this well-established operator. There is a demand for product in the lifestyle block market which Shannon Farm can satisfy; giving buyers additional choice

like Shannon Farm. The establishment of lifestyle blocks close to Gromwell township is also much more desirable than having.....

larger (e.g. 8Ha) sites developed in far more remote areas such as Queensberry with vastly higher costs associated with roading, servicing and commuting for owners. A development of this scale is far better than having numerous adhoc infill lifestyle blocks to satisfy demand that lacks the capacity, resources and means of creating a considered 'master plan' for their natural environment.

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole <i>or</i> part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (<i>or</i> disallowed):			
I seek that the whole pa	art of the Lister submission be allowed.		
	(Please give precise details)		
I wish/(<u>or</u> doxnot x) (Please strike out as a	isk) to be heard in support of my further submission. applicable)		
Signature of perso (or person authorise	milar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. would not consider presenting a joint case)		
Electronic address (Please write clearly)	s for service of person making further submission: .mcnulty.carl@gmail.com		
Telephone No:02	1 123 4569		
Postal Address:	3 Mansor Court, Cromwell		
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if applicable)		

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 CLOSE ON FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2020

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.