


RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: ...Kate & Rob Wardle... (Full name)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

.....; or,

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

We are local outdoor recreation enthusiasts, and if this Plan Change was to go ahead, would support an area open for all public to recreate on for a range of recreational activities including mountain biking.....; or,

(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. The local authority for the relevant area. CODC

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

13 Cromwell Mountain Biking Club cromtbclub@gmail.com on Plan Change 14.

(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

We oppose Plan Change 14 on the grounds of loss of versatile horticultural soils due to residential lot size and reverse sensitivity issues which will bring conflict between horticulturalists and new residential folk. However, if Plan Change 14 was accepted, then we support the creation of mountain biking trails accessible to the general public.....

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

We do not support Plan Change 14. However, if it goes ahead, we think the creation of a public recreation area that includes mountain bike tracks (location of these should be subject to an ecological assessment so as to avoid the areas of e.g. native grey shrubland that include at risk plant species) - would be an asset to Cromwell residents as well as visitors. The public creation of reasons will also be an asset to walkers and horse riders in the local area. Getting more people active has great health benefits.

I seek that the ~~whole~~ or part [describe part], of the submission be allowed ~~(or disallowed)~~

..... We do not support Plan Change 14. However, if it goes ahead, then we support the creation of a public recreation area with mountain bike trails created as outlined in this submission.

.....
(Please give precise details)

I ~~wish~~ or do not wish) to be heard in support of my further submission.
(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)



.....
Signature of person making Further Submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

..... 27.2.20

Electronic address for service of person making further submission: .. kwardle401@icloud.com

Telephone No: 0274370936

Postal Address: 400 Galloway Road, RD3, ALEXANDRA 9393

Contact Person: KATE WARDLE

(name & designation, if applicable)

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 CLOSE ON FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2020

Note to person making Further submission
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.