

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: ...Kate.&.Rob.Wardle.....
(Full name)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

We live in Central Otago and are concerned about the lack of consideration by Council for enabling residents to use, or, alternative forms of transport - walking, biking, public transport- as an imperative to reducing carbon use in the face of climate change

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

.....; or,
(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. The local authority for the relevant area. CODC

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

65. NZ Transport Agency Julie.McMinn@nzta.govt.nz ..... on Plan Change 14.

(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

In full

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

This Plan Change would effectively create a suburban hub within an existing horticultural area with no provision to facilitate walking, biking or taking public transport to Cromwell. Having to cross a fast and busy SH6 to get to Cromwell schools and shops will put off most people. New residential developments must have sustainable low carbon transport at the forefront of

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole ~~or part~~ ~~(describe part)~~ of the submission be allowed ~~(or disallowed)~~

..... This submission should be allowed in full. ....

.....  
(Please give precise details)

I ~~wish~~ ~~or do not wish~~ to be heard in support of my further submission.  
(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.  
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)



..... 27.2.20 .....

**Signature of person making Further Submission** **Date**  
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)  
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

**Electronic address for service of person making further submission:** .. kwardle401@icloud.com .....

**Telephone No:** ..... 0274370936 .....

**Postal Address:** ..... 400 Galloway Road, RD3, ALEXANDRA 9393 .....

**Contact Person:** ..... KATE WARDLE .....

(name & designation, if applicable)

**FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION  
ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 CLOSE ON FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2020**

**Note to person making Further submission**

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.