From: Phil Oliver philaltitude@gmail.com & Subject: Cromwell MTB
Date: 26 February 2020 11:33 am
To: hendeyc@outlook.co.nz





## FORM 6

## FLIPTHER SURMISSION IN SUPPORT OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO

	SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN
	Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Nam	e of person making further submission: Allan Fenwick Henderson (Full name)
	is a further submission in support of (or in opposition-to) a submission on proposed Plan Change the Central Otago District Plan.
l am	
1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:
******	
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:
lam	a keen mountain biker or outdoors enthusiast. I would greatly benefit from the development
3 44 H 44 4	ATB trails and a walking trail in the proposed recreation zone.  or, se state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.
Sup	port <del>(or oppose)</del> the submission of:
	muell Mountain Bike Club cromtholub@gmail.com_cubmicsion 13
C(O)	(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)
The	particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:
	port the entire submission.
(	Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)
The r	easons for my support (or opposition) are:
	proposed recreation area would be an excellent location for mtb trails and a walking track.
bein	g of free access, the trails would be a valuable resource to the local community and visitors.

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the w	hole submission be allowed	4,
分子集 全货子 坐黑房 透析派 医咖啡 埃古中 发彩学 测力流 盐	(Please give precise det	**************************************
l wield <u>(er</u> do not v (Please strike out as	wish) to be heard in support of my further s applicable)	submission.
	similar submission, I will consider presenting would not consider presenting a joint case)	ng a joint case with them at a hearing.
1076d		28/2/20
A see he have a different and was a state of	on making Further Submission	Date
	ed to sign on behalf of person making further s	ulmissioni
or person authorise	ed to sign on behalf of person making further s quired if you make your submission by electronic n	
(or person authorise (A signature is not re	quired if you make your submission by electronic n	neans)
(or person authorise (A signature is not re	quired if you make your submission by electronic n s for service of person making further sub	neans)
(or person authorise (A signature is not re- Electronic addrese (Please write clearly)	quired if you make your submission by electronic n s for service of person making further sub	
(or person authorise (A signature is not re- Electronic addrese (Please write clearly)	s for service of person making further substitution (email)  88 Cantenio (** Au	neans)
(or person authorisic (A signature is not resolved) Electronic address (Please write clearly) Telephone No:	s for service of person making further sub-	neans)
(or person authorisic (A signature is not resolved) Electronic address (Please write clearly) Telephone No:	s for service of person making further substitution (email)  88 Cantenio (** Au	neans)

## FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 CLOSE ON FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2020

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
  by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
  give expert article on the matter.