

Sue de Jong

From: Customer Services
Sent: Thursday, 27 February 2020 2:23 pm
To: Resource Consents
Subject: FW: PC 14: Form 6
Attachments: Form_6.pdf

Categories: Submissions/Evidence

From: Carl McNulty <mcnulty.carl@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 27 February 2020 2:08 pm
To: Customer Services <csoalex@codc.govt.nz>
Subject: PC 14: Form 6

To Central Otago District Council,

Please see attached for my further submission. Please note I would like to be heard on my original submission as well.

Many thanks,

Carl McNulty
Mobile: [+64 21 123 4569](tel:+64211234569)
Central Otago, New Zealand

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: ...Carl Michael McNulty... (Full name)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

I have already lodged a submission in relation to PC14 and having read other submissions I want to add further points for consideration, based on my knowledge of, and participation in, the local property market.

.....; or,

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

.....; or,
(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. The local authority for the relevant area.

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

..Support: Basil John Lister, 15 Bell Avenue Cromwell, Submission #49.....on Plan Change 14.
(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

..This is a logical and desirable location for such a development, the range of densities proposed is appropriate and well..... considered in terms of giving future owners various options and price points, plus allows efficient use of land and suitable mitigation of any visual impact. I also support the provision of public access to recreation tracks on the hill.....

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

In addition the developer is proposing to lower the ONL boundary to protect more sensitive landscape than is the present case. There are clear economic benefits to Cromwell from expansion of the cherry orchard by this well-established operator. There is a definite demand for product in the lifestyle block market which Shannon Farm can satisfy, giving buyers additional choice..... and in turn freeing up land within central Cromwell for more intensive affordable housing as owners of such sites relocate to areas like Shannon Farm... The establishment of lifestyle blocks close to Cromwell township is also much more desirable than having..... (Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

larger (e.g. 8Ha) sites developed in far more remote areas such as Queensberry with vastly higher costs associated with roading, servicing and commuting for owners. A development of this scale is far better than having numerous adhoc infill lifestyle blocks to satisfy demand that lacks the capacity, resources and means of creating a considered 'master plan' for their natural environment.

