RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO OTAGO SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission: ...

GARRIN FORX (Full name)

2 7 FEB 2020

This is a further submission in support of (<u>or in opposition to</u>) a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

.....; or,

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

I am a keen mountain biker or outdoors enthusiast. I would greatly benefit from the development

of MTB trails and a walking trail in the proposed recreation zone. (Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. The local authority for the relevant area.

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

Cromwell Mountain Bike Club cromtbclub@gmail.com , submission 13 (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)

The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

I support the entire submission.

(Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

The proposed recreation area would be an excellent location for mtb trails and a walking track. Being of free access, the trails would be a valuable resource to the local community and visitors.

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

| I seek that the who | | bmission be allowed (or disallowed): |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I seek that the wh | ole submission be allowed | |
| | (Please give pre | cise details) |
| Wish/ <u>(er</u> do not w Please strike out as | rish) to be heard in support of my fu applicable) | urther submission. |
| | milar submission, I will consider pr would not consider presenting a joint case | resenting a joint case with them at a hearing. |
| | and the second second second second | 61662 10 |
| | on making Further Submission | 26 FEB / 2020 Date |
| or person authorise A signature is not red Electronic address | ed to sign on behalf of person making f quired if you make your submission by ele s for service of person making furth | Date urther submission) ctronic means) |
| (or person authorise (A signature is not red Electronic address (Please write clearly) | ed to sign on behalf of person making f quired if you make your submission by ele s for service of person making furth | Date urther submission) |
| (or person authorise (A signature is not red Electronic address (Please write clearly) | ed to sign on behalf of person making f quired if you make your submission by ele s for service of person making furth (email) | Date urther submission) ctronic means) er submission: CFK EXTRA-COM |

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

• it is frivolous or vexatious:

A

- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.