RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:

Central Otago District Council

PO Box 122

health benefits.

ALEXANDRA 9340

Name of person making further submission:Kate.&.Rob.Wardle(Full name)		
	a further submission in support of (<u>or</u> in opposition to) a submission on proposed Plan Change he Central Otago District Plan.	
l am: 1.	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:	
	; or,	
2.	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:	
public	e local outdoor recreation enthusiasts, and if this Plan Change was to go ahead, would support an area open for all to recreate on for a range of recreational actitvities including mountain biking. e state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)	
3.	The local authority for the relevant area.	
	ort (<u>ớr</u> ở pớ ở sẽ) the submission of:	
13 Cror	nwell Mountain Biking Club cromtbclub@gmail.com On Plan Change 14. (Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of original submission)	
The pa	articular parts of the submission I support (<u>or</u> xppose) are:	
sensi accej	We oppose Plan Change 14 on the grounds of loss of versatile horticultural soils due to residential lot size and reverse sensitivity issues which will bring conflict between horticulturalists and new residential folk. However, if Plan Change 14 was accepted, then we support the creation of mountain biking trails accessible to the general public. (Please clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal and continue on an additional page if necessary)	
The re	asons for my support (<u>୪</u> ୪୪୪୪୪୪୪୪୪୪) are:	
We	to not support Plan Change 14. However, if it goes ahead, we think the creation of a public recreation area that includes	

mountain bike tracks (location of these should be subject to an ecological assessment so as to avoid the areas of e.g. native grey shrubland that include at risk plant species) - would be an asset to Cromwell residents as well as visitors. The public (PIESSE STIP) areas will also be the walkers and be repaired by the local area. Getting more people active has great

I seek that the xxxx	ode or part [describe part], of the submission be allowed (જાપ chisadhowned)પ
	oort Plan Change 14. However, if it goes ahead, then we support the creation of a public recreation area pike trails created as outlined in this submission.
	(Please give precise details)
I wish/{or do not w (Please strike out as a	rish) to be heard in support of my further submission. applicable)
	milar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
Wholal	27.2.20
(or person authorise	on making Further Submission Date ded to sign on behalf of person making further submission) quired if you make your submission by electronic means)
Electronic address (Please write clearly)	s for service of person making further submission:kwardle401@icloud.com
Telephone No:	0274370936
Postal Address:	···400·Galloway·Road,·RD3,·ALEXANDRA·9393······
Contact Person:	KATE WARDLE

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, ANY SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 CLOSE ON FRIDAY 28 FEBRUARY 2020

Note to person making Further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.