

## Sue de Jong

---

**From:** Customer Services  
**Sent:** Friday, 28 February 2020 11:02 am  
**To:** Resource Consents  
**Subject:** FW: NZ Transport Agency Further Submissions to PC14 Shannon Farm  
**Attachments:** NZ Transport Agency PC 14 - Further Submission.pdf  
  
**Categories:** Submissions/Evidence

---

**From:** Julie McMinn <Julie.McMinn@nzta.govt.nz>  
**Sent:** Friday, 28 February 2020 10:57 am  
**To:** Customer Services <csoalex@codc.govt.nz>  
**Cc:** Otago Regional Council <warren.hanley@orc.govt.nz>; Chelsea.wallace@southerndhb.govt.nz; james@jghbarrister.com  
**Subject:** NZ Transport Agency Further Submissions to PC14 Shannon Farm

Hi

Please find attached the further submissions of the NZ Transport Agency to PC 14.

This email is also being served on each original submitter i.e:

Otago Regional Council;  
Public Health South;  
Residents for Responsible Development Cromwell.

Kind regards  
Julie

---

**Julie McMinn** /Consultant Planner  
Planning and Investment  
DDI 64 3 955 2926  
E [julie.mcminn@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:julie.mcminn@nzta.govt.nz) / W [nzta.govt.nz](http://nzta.govt.nz)  
Dunedin Office / AA Centre, 450 Moray Place,  
PO Box 5245, Dunedin 9058, New Zealand  
**NOTE: I only work 9-3pm Monday -Thursday**



Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:  
[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---

**FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 14  
TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN  
CLAUSE 8 OF SCHEDULE 1 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

1. The NZ Transport Agency submissions are contained in the attached Table 1.
2. The NZ Transport Agency has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest the general public. The NZ Transport Agency is a Crown Agency and gives effect to government policy.
3. The NZ Transport Agency **wishes to be heard** in support of its further submissions.
4. The NZ Transport Agency could **not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.
5. If others make similar submissions, The NZ Transport Agency **will not consider** presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Dated at [CHRISTCHURCH] this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February 2020



Richard Shaw

**Team leader – Consents and Approvals  
NZ Transport Agency**

**Pursuant to authority delegated by NZ Transport Agency**

**ADDRESS FOR SERVICE**

**Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency  
Julie McMinn  
PO Box 5245  
Dunedin 9058**

**T: 03 9552926  
Email: Julie.mcminn@nzta.govt.nz**



**Table 1: Further submissions on behalf of the NZ Transport Agency on submissions to Private Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan**

Submitter	Sub No.	Relief sought by submitter	NZTA support / oppose	NZTA reasons for support / opposition	Decision Sought (accept / reject)
Otago Regional Council	67/1-67/20	Neutral	Support	<p>Appropriate consideration of Regional Policy and matters raised and the Otago Southland Regional Land Transport Plan 2015-2021.</p> <p>Submission points supported 67/2, 67/11, 67/13, 67/14, 67/15, 67/15, 67/17, 67/18, 67/19, 67/20</p>	
Public Health South on behalf of the SDHB	68/1 – 68/11	Approve PC14 with modifications	Support in part Oppose in part	<p>NZTA support the PHS submission where it raises concerns in regard to community connectedness to Cromwell. However, oppose suggested modifications to PC14.</p> <p>Submission point supported 68/7.</p> <p>Submission point opposed 68/8 – the suggested underpass by the submitter may not necessarily address all the safety issues and traffic effects on the highway from the proposal. Any potential mitigation measures for effects on the highway need to be considered in an integrated manner and consider the larger context of the State highway environment in this location.</p>	Reject decision sought
Residents for Responsible development Cromwell	70/1-70/10	Decline PC14 in its entirety		NZTA supports the submission points that PC14 is inconsistent with the Cromwell Masterplan Spatial Framework and will result in undue traffic effects.	Accept decision to decline PC14 in its entirety

Submitter	Sub No.	Relief sought by submitter	NZTA support / oppose	NZTA reasons for support / opposition	Decision Sought (accept / reject)
				Submission points supported: 70/6, 70/9.	