## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

## FORM 5

# SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Name of Submitter: AMBER LEE MCNULTY (Full name)
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).
l <del>could</del> could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.  (* Select one)
Lam/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that-  (a) adversely affects the environment; and  (b) dees not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.  (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission)  (* Select One)
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:  All of Plan Change 14
(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)
My submission is: For Support. Development in this cireci is going to happen regardless, at least this, in my opinion, is a well considered proposal.
(Please include:  whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)
I seek the following decision from the local authority:
(Please give precise details)

Ametah/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

# SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 ON WEDNESDAY 18 DECEMBER 2019

#### Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.