RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

	TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN 1 8 DEC 2011 1 8 DEC 2011
	Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340 of Submitter: Mathan Manning (Full name)
This is	a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).
l could	//could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)
(a) (b)	m not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that- adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)
The sp	pecific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:
alle	oring plan charge to go a head
	(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)
F th Si	fully support this well planned and ought out development hat would with the warts and needs of (Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and • reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)
l seek t	the following decision from the local authority:
To	Alow plan change 14 to proceed of Yes to the proposal
	(Please give precise details)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. (Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 ON WEDNESDAY 18 DECEMBER 2019

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.