RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Central Otago District Council	
PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340	Publication to be at 10 to 20 to 10
Name of Submitter: Tob-/ Fredrich	いる(い) Full name)
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change	14 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).
I could could not* gain an advantage in trade co	empetition through this submission.
The specific provisions of the proposal that my	submission relates to are:
All of Plan Change 14	
	A Comment of the Comm
(Please give details and con	inue on additional page if necessary)
My submission is:	2224(1) (7) (2) (1) (1)
***************************************	E 14 AS IT PROVIDES ECONOMICE
	REA , N externes AND COMPREHENSINE
***************************************	SONAL WORKERS ACCOMMODATION
	HE WIDE DEVELOPMENT PLAN BY NZ CHESTYCOLD
 whether you support or oppose the sp 	pecific provisions or wish to have them amended; and sons for your views;
	ditional page if necessary)
I seek the following decision from the local author	ority:
I SEEL THAT THE LOCA	
THE APPLICATION THAT	NEW ZEALAND CHEERY CORP
ARE REQUESTING.	/
(Please giv	ve precise details)
I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my s	ubmission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 ON WEDNESDAY 18 DECEMBER 2019

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.