RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340
Mamo	of Submitter: REECE VAN DEN VELDE
Name	(Full name)
This i	s a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).
े ज्ञान	#could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)
(a)	m-not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that- adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission) (* Select One)
The s	pecific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:
All	of Plan Change 14 V
,,,,	
*********	(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)
	bmission is:
	SUPPORT AND MY MEW IS IT WILL HELP GON (MOMINELL,
Lik	E A MILBROOK OF CAONWELL. MULH NEEDED LIFEITILE
RES	IDENTIAL MORE EMPLOYMENT OFPORTUNITIES.
•••••	(Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and • reasons for your views; and continue on additional page if necessary)
l seek	the following decision from the local authority:
	······································
	(Please give precise details)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 ON WEDNESDAY 18 DECEMBER 2019

(name & designation, if applicable)

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.