## **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

### FORM 5

# SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:	Central Otago District Council PO Box 122		
	ALEXANDRA 9340		
Name	ddd	of	Submitter:
		(Full name)	
This is	s a submission on proposed Plan	Change 15 to the Cent	ral Otago District Plan (the proposal).
l could	d/could not* gain an advantage in	trade competition thro (* Select one)	ugh this submission.
I am/a (a) (b)	am not* directly affected by an effe adversely affects the environmen does not relate to trade competit (Delete entire paragraph if you could n	nt; and tion or the effects of tra	
The s	pecific provisions of the proposal	that my submission re	elates to are:
		s and continue on addition	al page if necessary)
My su	Ibmission is:		
		(Please include:	
	<ul> <li>whether you support or opp</li> </ul>		s or wish to have them amended; and
	and cont	<ul> <li>reasons for your vie tinue on additional page if r</li> </ul>	
l seek	the following decision from the lo	ocal authority:	
		(Please give precise detail	s)

I wish/do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

(Please strike out as applicable)

	ilar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. buld not consider presenting a joint case)
	<b>ter</b> b sign on behalf of submitter) ired if you make a submission by electronic means)
Date	
Electronic address f	or service of submitter:
Telephone No:	
Postal Address:	
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if applicable)

### SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 15 ON MONDAY 10 AUGUST 2020

### Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.