RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

FORM 5

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Central Otago District Council

To:

	PO Box 122 ALEXANDRA 9340	
Name	e of Submitter:	
	(Full name)	
This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 14 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal). I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. (* Select one)		
The s	specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:	
	(Please give details and continue on additional page if necessary)	
My sı	ubmission is:	
•••••	(Please include: • whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and • reasons for your views;	
l seel	and continue on additional page if necessary) k the following decision from the local authority:	
	(Please give precise details)	

Date	
Electronic address	for service of submitter:
Telephone No:	
Postal Address:	
Contact Person:	(name & designation, if applicable)

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE IN RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 14 ON WEDNESDAY 18 DECEMBER 2019

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.