

RECEIVED
16/12/2024
CODC

47



1 Dunorling Street
PO Box 122, Alexandra 9340
New Zealand

03 440 0056

Info@codc.govt.nz
www.codc.govt.nz



SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED APPLICATION CONCERNING RESOURCE CONSENT

(Form 13)

Section 95A Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340
resource.consent@codc.govt.nz

DETAILS OF SUBMITTER

Full name: Brendan Pheasant

Contact person (if applicable):

Electronic address for service of submitter: brendanpheasant@gmail.com

Telephone: 027 3899162

Postal address (or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act):

1 Ballarat Ave

Naseby 9396

This is a submission on the following resource consent application: RC No: **240065**

Applicant: **Helios OTA Op LP** Valuation No: **2828012800**

Location of Site: **48 Ranfurly-Naseby Road**

Brief Description of Application: **Land Use Consent to Construct, Operate and Maintain a Solar Farm (Maniatoto Plain Solar Farm) being a Renewable Electricity Generation Activity in a Rural Resource Area.**

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
(give details, attach on separate page if necessary)

-

This submission is: *(attach on separate page if necessary)*

Include:

- *whether you support or oppose the specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended; and*
- *the reasons for your views.*

I support the application, see attached.

I/We seek the following decision from the consent authority:
(give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)

Approval

I support/oppose the application OR neither support or oppose (select one)

I wish / do not wish to be heard in support of this submission (select one)

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of [section 308B](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (select one)

***I/We am/am not (select one) directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:**

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.*

***I/We will consider presenting a joint case if others make a similar submission**

**Delete this paragraph if not applicable.*

I request/**do not request** (select one), pursuant to [section 100A](#) of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. “See *note 4 below as you may incur costs relating to this request.*”



15/12/24

Signature

Date

(to be signed by submitter or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

In lodging this submission, I understand that my submission, including contact details, are considered public information, and will be made available and published as part of this process.

Notes to submitter

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use [form 16B](#).

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

2. You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.
3. If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you will be liable to meet the additional costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners, compared to our hearing panel. Typically these costs range from \$3,000 - \$10,000.
5. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - it contains offensive language:

it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Submission on Maniatoto Plain Solar Farm – B Pheasant attachment

I support the Maniatoto Plains Solar Farm proposal because it increases New Zealand's renewable energy supply in a way that:

1. is cost effective (solar technology now being the most cost efficient form of renewable generation);
2. complements other forms of renewable energy – i.e. dry periods, when hydro storage is likely reduced, are likely to have increase solar generation potential due to less cloud cover, increased sunlight;
3. is far less impactful to the environment in construction and operation than hydro, wind or geothermal facilities, in being silent, not flooding large valleys, being visually unobtrusive, and having no emissions to the atmosphere;
4. is also efficient due to being adjacent to the recently upgraded high voltage transmission network and the substation on said network;
5. diversifies and adds to the land use in the region and increases the region's productivity and contribution to New Zealand society while enabling existing land use (grazing) to continue;
6. brings up-to-date technology and a wider skillset to the area;
7. is a significant investment in the region – giving many opportunities for local businesses and labour to contribute and benefit.