RECEIVED
19/12/2024
CODC

101

CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT COUNCIL

1 Dunorling Street
PO Box 122, Alexandra 9340
New Zealand

03 440 0056

Info@codc.govt.nz
www.codc.govt.nz

SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED APPLICATION CONCERNING RESOURCE CONSENT

(Form 13)

Section 95A Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340
resource.consents@codc.govt.nz

DETAILS OF SUBMITTER

Full name: Peter Hore

Contact person (if applicable): Peter

Electronic address for service of submitter: pjhore96@gmail.com

Telephone: 0272240244

Postal address (or alternative method of service under *section 352* of the Act):

P.O. Box 7 Wedderburn 9355

This is a submission on the following resource consent application: RC No: **240065**

Applicant: **Helios OTA Op LP** Valuation No: **2828012800**

Location of Site: **48 Ranfurly-Naseby Road**

Brief Description of Application: **Land Use Consent to Construct, Operate and Maintain a Solar Farm (Maniatoto Plain Solar Farm) being a Renewable Electricity Generation Activity in a Rural Resource Area.**

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
(give details, attach on separate page if necessary) Lack of information Community. Fire risk (Pollution) Water requirements. Transmission of Environment electricity

This submission is: *(attach on separate page if necessary)*

Include:

- *whether you support or oppose the specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended; and*
- *the reasons for your views.*

Oppose See seperate page

I/We seek the following decision from the consent authority:
(give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)

I ~~support~~/oppose the application ~~OR neither support or oppose~~ (select one)

I ~~wish~~ / do not wish to be heard in support of this submission (select one)

I ~~am~~/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991 (select one)

***I/We am/~~am not~~ (select one) directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:**

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.*

My name is Peter Hore. I am a fourth generation Maniototo farmer.

The following is my submission regarding the proposed solar farm in the Naseby Ranfurly area.

Information relating to this proposal I find vague and sketchy. Professionalism and compassion are lacking and to have submissions closing at short notice and immediately before Christmas is unfair and insensitive leaving limited time to study the detail.

Nothing is mentioned as to how the power is going to leave the Maniototo. Will more transmission lines be constructed and will it impact on our farming activities?

Mention has been made that there will be native plantings, and a wet land developed. Where will the water come from? Neither of the two properties have water rights or water resources. In the notice of application, it describes these as screen plantings. This is misleading as the land involved is at lower altitude than the rest of the Maniototo land mass.

There is some concern locally as to fire risk with associated gas emissions from lithium batteries. I understand other submitters will present more information on this. I fully support those submitters.

The visual impact the solar farm will have will change the Maniototo landscape for ever. It will no longer be a "world of difference".

The above points are some of my concerns as I'm sure many more issues will surface as time progresses.

Those who live and work in the Maniototo [some for generations] deserve to have their views respected.

I am strongly opposed resource consent being granted for the solar farm proposal.

Peter Hore

PO Box 7 Wedderburn 9355

0272240244 pjhore96@gmail.com

***I/We will consider presenting a joint case if others make a similar submission**
**Delete this paragraph if not applicable.*

I request/do not request (select one), pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. *"See note 4 below as you may incur costs relating to this request."*

P. J Howe

Signature

17-12-24

Date

(to be signed by submitter or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

In lodging this submission, I understand that my submission, including contact details, are considered public information, and will be made available and published as part of this process.

Notes to submitter

1. **If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.**

   The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

2. **You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.**

3. **If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11Aof the Resource Management Act 1991.**

4. **If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you will be liable to meet the additional costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners, compared to our hearing panel. Typically these costs range from $3,000 - $10,000.**

5. **Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):**
   - **it is frivolous or vexatious:**
   - **it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:**
   - **it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:**
   - **it contains offensive language:**

it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.